

University of Groningen

A review on catalytic methane combustion at low temperatures

He, Li; Fan, Yilin; Bellettre, Jerome; Yue, Jun; Luo, Lingai

Published in:
Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews

DOI:
[10.1016/j.rser.2019.109589](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109589)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

He, L., Fan, Y., Bellettre, J., Yue, J., & Luo, L. (2020). A review on catalytic methane combustion at low temperatures: Catalysts, mechanisms, reaction conditions and reactor designs. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 119, [109589]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109589>

Copyright


Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



A review on catalytic methane combustion at low temperatures: Catalysts, mechanisms, reaction conditions and reactor designs

Li He^{a,b}, Yilin Fan^a, Jérôme Bellettre^a, Jun Yue^{b,**}, Lingai Luo^{a,*}

^a Université de Nantes, CNRS, Laboratoire de thermique et énergie de Nantes, LTeN, UMR 6607, F-44000, Nantes, France

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Engineering and Technology Institute Groningen, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, the Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Natural gas
Catalytic methane combustion
Catalysts
Mechanism
Reaction conditions
Reactor

ABSTRACT

Natural gas (with methane as its main component) provides an attractive energy source because of its large abundance and its high heat of combustion per mole of carbon dioxide generated. However, the emissions released from the conventional flame combustion (essentially NO_x) have harmful impacts on the environment and the human health. Within the scope of rational and clean use of fossil energies, the catalytic combustion of natural gas appears as one of the most promising alternatives to flammable combustion. The presence of catalysts enables complete oxidation of methane at much lower temperatures (typically 500 °C), so that the formation of pollutants can be largely avoided. This work presents a literature review on the catalytic methane combustion. Various aspects are discussed including the catalyst types, the reaction mechanisms and kinetic characteristics, effects of various influencing operational factors and different reactor types proposed and tested. This paper may serve as an essential reference that contributes to the development of well-designed reactors, equipped with appropriate catalysts, and under well-handled operating conditions to realize the favorable (kinetic) performance, for their future applications and propagation in different industrial sectors.

1. Introduction

One of the major concerns over economic growth and social development nowadays is the constantly increasing energy demand [1]. The study of U.S. Energy Information Administration has forecasted an increase of 28% in the world's energy consumption from 2015 to 2040 [2]. While there is a constant progress year by year for the development of renewable energies, the use of fossil sources (petroleum, coal and natural gas) is still dominant, and remains indispensable in the near future [3].

Among the fossil energy resources, the natural gas presents a particular interest because of its higher energy content (55.7 kJ g⁻¹ if fully based on methane as its main component) than coal (39.3 kJ g⁻¹) and petroleum (43.6 kJ g⁻¹) as well as its reduced CO₂ emission (50% less than coal and 30% less than petroleum). Moreover, the proven

natural gas reserves worldwide are abundant, reaching about 193.5 trillion cubic meters at the end of 2017 [4]. As a result, natural gas has accounted for the largest increment (24%) in the main energy consumption in the past decade until 2017, and has been suggested as a substitute for oil and coal as a future leading energy source for the next 20 years [5]. In response to this, there is a rapidly growing number of research & development efforts yearly on the deployment of natural gas for their use in various sectors including industrial, residential, power, transport and many others [6].

Besides the natural gas fields, the synthetic natural gas (SNG) can also be derived from coal gasification, CO₂ methanation and biomass gasification/digestion [7,8]. Fig. 1 shows the main reaction network of SNG in the industry. Biomass is particularly promising as a substitute for fossil resources owing to its benefits of energy security and environmental friendliness. On one hand, the SNG can be obtained from

Abbreviations: 3DOM, Three-dimensionally ordered microporous; AB_xAl_(12-x)O₁₉ (x = 1, 3, 6, 9, 12), Hexaaluminate formula; ABO₃ (or A^IB^VO₃, A^{II}B^{IV}O₃, or A^{III}B^{III}O₃), Perovskites formula; BET, Brunauer, Emmett and Teller method, specific surface area of catalyst, unit: m²·g⁻¹; BHA, Barium hexaaluminate; CMC, Catalytic methane combustion; DP, Deposition precipitation; DRIFT, Diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy; HDP, Homogeneous deposition precipitation; HTF, Heat transfer fluid; ITM, Ion transport membrane reactor; NGVs, Natural gas vehicles; SEM, Scanning electron microscope; SOFCs, Solid oxide fuel cells; SNG, Synthetic natural gas.

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: yue.jun@rug.nl (J. Yue), Lingai.Luo@univ-nantes.fr (L. Luo).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109589>

Received 26 April 2019; Received in revised form 29 October 2019; Accepted 11 November 2019

Available online 30 November 2019

1364-0321/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).




Fig. 1. Main reaction network of synthetic natural gas.




Fig. 2. Number of publications on the catalytic methane combustion (source: Scopus; keyword: catalytic methane combustion; date: 17 October 2019).

upgrading biogas that is generated from biomass digestion (e.g. manure) and/or from carbohydrate fermentation by bacteria in an anaerobic environment [9–11]. On the other hand, the SNG can be produced via gasification of biomass (e.g. wood, straw and crops) followed by the process of methanation [12,13]. The syngas and methanol can be synthesized by partial oxidation and steam reforming reaction, producing consequently synthetic fuels and hydrogen. Meanwhile, the combustion of methane can provide the heat and electricity due to the strongly exothermic nature of the reaction.

The conventional flame combustion of (synthetic) natural gas occurs typically at above 1400 °C and releases harmful pollutants (such as NO_x, CO and hydrocarbon). The impact of NO_x on human health (respiratory diseases) has been widely recognized [14]. Its emission also has harmful environmental impacts including the formation of photochemical smog and acid rain [15]. More and more stringent regulations are thus applicable over European countries. For example, in September 2018, the maximum NO_x emission level has been reduced from 70 mg·kWh⁻¹ (class 5) to 56 mg·kWh⁻¹ for all domestic boilers sold in Europe [16]. As a result, the complete oxidation of natural gas in the presence of catalysts (i.e. the catalytic combustion) appears as one of the most promising alternative solutions for the rational and clean use of fossil energies. The activation energy is reduced from 100–200 kJ mol⁻¹ (conventional combustion) to 40–80 kJ mol⁻¹ (catalytic combustion), leading to a lower working temperature (<600 °C). In this regard, less pollutant emissions could be reached (~5 ppm compared with 150–200 ppm for conventional combustion). Hence, the catalytic combustion of methane or natural gas as a clean technology has received increasing research attention [17], indicated by the significantly increasing number of

yearly publications over the past two decades (Fig. 2).

Various application areas of catalytic methane combustion (CMC) have been proposed and attempted, as illustrated in Fig. 3 and briefly described below.

- (i) Natural gas vehicles (NGVs) [18–21] (ca. 300–700 °C): NGVs have the advantages in the abatement of greenhouse gas emissions and smog emissions compared to gasoline or diesel-driven vehicles. Three-way catalysts are applied on NGVs mainly for exhaust purification in practice.
- (ii) Gas turbine [22–27] (ca. 700–1400 °C): Methane combustion is widely used as the fuel on the gas turbine. The combusted gas is used to drive a turbine for power generation. For example, 25 kW electricity output can be obtained with 0.8 vol% methane in the air [24].
- (iii) Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) [28] (ca. 500–1000 °C): The pre-heated compressed air passes into the cathode of the battery while the compressed methane mixed with the overheated steam enters into the anode of the fuel cell. The methane electrochemical conversion in SOFCs, if properly controlled, could obtain a high conversion efficiency and an environmental benefit due to a significant decrease in pollutant emissions.
- (iv) Domestic heating systems [29–32] (ca. 300–700 °C): the heat released from the exothermic CMC reaction is utilized to drive the domestic heating systems, such as the central boilers or gas stoves. A high energy conversion efficiency and eco-friendly water boiler prototype with a hot water yield of 11.5 kg min⁻¹ has been reported [33].
- (v) Coupling with endothermic reaction (ca. 300–700 °C): the reaction heat from CMC is commonly used to drive an endothermic reaction so as to maintain the continuous autothermal operation [34]. Novel reactor designs have been proposed for coupling the CMC with an endothermic reaction (methane steam reforming [35,36], dehydrogenation of propane to propylene [37], dehydrogenation of ethane to ethylene [38,39], etc.), owing to the optimized energy integration and the process intensification.

It may be discovered that compared to the conventional flame combustion, the presence of catalysts enables a decrease of the working temperature (<1400 °C). Depending on the target application, the operational temperature for CMC can be further divided into a relatively lower range (about 300–700 °C) and a relatively higher one (about 700–1400 °C). The low-temperature CMC becomes more attractive due to the remarkable abatement of pollutant emissions and the prolonged catalyst lifetime. For instance, the reusability and the reproducibility of catalysts, especially for noble metal catalysts, are shortened at high temperatures. In this field, developing catalysts with high catalytic activity, low light-off temperature and good thermal stability even for such low temperature operations is still a challenging issue.

A great number of researches have been devoted to catalyst development [18,40,41] and reactor design [42–44] for CMC. Noble metal catalysts (e.g. Pt, Pd and Rh) have been widely investigated owing to their high catalytic activity. Hexaaluminate and perovskite catalysts,





Fig. 3. Main applications of CMC.

Fig. 4. Schematic structure of catalysts for CMC. (a) hexaaluminate ($LaFeAl_{11}O_{19}$) [68]; (b) perovskites ($La_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_{3-\delta}$) [69]; (c) noble metal-based (Pd–Ru) catalyst [70].

due to their relatively lower catalytic activity and high thermal stability, are commonly used for high temperature applications (600–1400 °C). Optimization of reaction conditions over various catalysts has been broadly investigated [19], such as the effect of light-off temperature,

reactant concentration, oxygen to methane molar ratio, residence time, etc. Moreover, the mechanistic studies mainly focusing on kinetic models for various catalysts have been well elaborated in earlier literatures [45–48]. The reactor designs (e.g. micro/mini-structured reactor)

Table 1

Summary of some published reviews related to CMC.

Reference	Main contents
Gélin & Primet 2002 [18]	Noble metal catalysts for methane complete oxidation at low temperatures (1) Pd, Pt-based catalysts with silica and alumina support (2) Kinetics, active sites nature (Pd, Pt), mechanism (3) Particle size effect (4) Sulfur poisoning effect (5) Improved support: ZrO ₂ , SnO ₂ , CeO ₂ , Co ₃ O ₄ , etc. (6) Bimetallic system: Pd–Pt catalyst
Choudhary et al. 2002 [40]	Catalysts for oxidation of methane and lower alkanes (1) Noble metal-based catalysts: Pd, Pt, Rh, Au (2) Metal oxide catalysts Single metal oxides: CuO, MgO, Co ₃ O ₄ , etc. Mixed metal oxides: perovskites, hexaaluminate, doped metal oxides
Ciuparu et al. 2002 [57]	CMC over Pd-based catalysts (1) Catalyst characterization, deactivation, reaction conditions, etc. (2) Transformation of Pd and PdO phases (3) Catalytic mechanism
Li & Hoflund 2003 [41]	Complete oxidation of methane at low temperatures over noble/non-noble metal catalysts (1) Kinetics and mechanism over Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ (2) Effect of Ce additives on the activity (3) Effect of CO ₂ and H ₂ O on the activity (4) Perovskite-type oxides
Rahimpou et al. 2012 [34]	Coupling exothermic and endothermic catalytic reactions (1) Reactor type: fixed bed, fluidized bed, etc. (2) Various alternatives for thermal coupling (3) Various coupling catalytic reactions, including: CMC reaction coupled with: methane steam reforming (with H ₂ O or CO ₂) or dehydrogenation of propane to propylene or dehydrogenation of ethane to ethylene or methane partial oxidation coupled with methane steam reforming, etc.
Zhu et al. 2014 [53]	Perovskite preparation and application in heterogeneous catalysis (1) Structure and properties, characterizations (2) Synthesis with morphologies: bulk, nanosized, porous, nanospheres, etc. (3) Applications: NO decomposition; NO reduction; NO oxidation; N ₂ O decomposition CH ₄ combustion; CO oxidation; oxidative reforming of hydrocarbon; volatile organic compound combustion
Chen et al. 2015 [17]	Catalysts for methane combustion (1) Noble metal catalyst: Pd-based catalyst: active nature, support effect, additive effect, sulfur poisoning Pt-based catalyst: chlorine effect, particle size, SO ₂ , H ₂ /propane addition Au-based catalyst: Au state, different preparation methods effects Bimetallic system: Pt–Pd, Pd–Rh, Pd–Au, etc. (2) Metal oxide catalyst: Single metal oxide-based catalysts: CuO, Co ₃ O ₄ , MnO _x , CeO ₂ Perovskite catalysts: substitution effect, sulfur poisoning, and preparation methods Spine catalysts: catalytic activity, cation substitution, etc. Hexaaluminate catalyst: preparation methods, cation substitution, etc. Kinetics and reaction mechanism over metal oxide catalysts Hexaaluminate structure and catalytic performance
Tian et al. 2016 [52]	(1) Structure: β -Al ₂ O ₃ and magnetoplumbite structures, prosperities (2) Synthesis: sol-gel, co-precipitation, reverse microemulsion, etc. (3) Catalytic performances: methane combustion, methane partial oxidation, N ₂ O decomposition
Gür 2016 [28]	Methane conversion in SOFCs: (1) Catalytic methane oxidation (2) Electrochemical conversion of methane (3) Major challenges for methane conversion on catalytic anodes
Cruellas et al. 2017 [58]	Advanced reactor concepts for oxidative coupling of methane (1) Concept and type of reactors for methane oxidative coupling (2) Heat management system (3) Applications
Yang & Guo 2018 [59]	Nanostructured perovskite oxides (1) CMC reaction mechanism (2) Properties and structure design of perovskite (3) Recent advances of perovskite for CMC
Current review	<i>Various aspects on CMC</i> (1) <i>Catalysts: hexaaluminates, perovskite, noble metal</i> (2) <i>Reaction mechanism and kinetics</i> (3) <i>Reaction operational conditions: effect of temperature, ratio of oxygen to methane, space velocity, natural gas composition, pressure</i> (4) <i>Reactor types: fixed-bed reactor, wall-coated reactor (folded plate-type, tube-coated type, monolithic, microchannel plate-type), membrane bed, fluidized bed</i>

Table 2

Comparison of main catalysts used for methane combustion.

Reference	Catalyst type	BET surface area (m ² ·g ⁻¹) ^a	Calcination temperature (°C)	Reaction temperature (°C)	Advantages	Disadvantages	Applications
[52]	Hexaaluminate	0–30	900–1300	<1000	- High thermal stability - Doped cation substitution (improved catalytic activity) - Different oxygen species - Relatively low cost	- Low surface area - High light-off temperature	High temperature reaction (e.g., partial/complete oxidation of methane, N ₂ O decomposition)
[53,73]	Perovskite	0–30	700–1100	<1000	- High thermal stability - Doped cation substitution - higher oxygen mobility and species - Relatively low cost	Ditto	Ditto
[18,40,41]	Noble metal (e.g., Pt, Pd, Rh)	>100	450–600	<600	- High catalytic activity - High surface area - Low light-off temperature	- Catalyst sintering - Relatively high cost	Low temperature reaction (e.g., partial/complete oxidation, methane steam reforming)

Note.

^a Average specific surface area measured by BET (Brunauer, Emmett and Teller) method is shown here, but may vary depending on the preparation method.

with coupled endothermic reaction have become a hotspot direction in recent decade [49–51]. Reviews papers related to CMC have also been published, as summarized in Table 1. Nevertheless, most of them primarily focus on the improvement of catalytic activity (e.g. noble metal-based catalysts [17,18,40,41], hexaaluminates/perovskite catalysts [52,53]). Other review papers may involve the CMC in one or several sub-sections, but they are mainly devoted to a specific topic, e.g. heating system [54,55], SOFCs [28], coupling exothermic/endothermic reactions [34], SNGs [9,56], etc.

The present review on CMC aims at filling the literature gap by providing a comprehensive and combined understanding of catalysts, mechanisms, reaction conditions and reactor designs. In particular, the present paper has the following objectives:

- A brief introduction of the catalyst types, their advantages/disadvantages, associated reaction mechanisms and kinetic characteristics.
- A complete survey on the effects of various operational factors on the performance of CMC, including temperature, space velocity, O₂/CH₄ ratio, natural gas composition and pressure.
- A review on different reactor types used for CMC, with a special focus on microchannel reactor-heat exchangers.

This paper may serve as an essential reference that contributes to the development of well-designed reactors, equipped with appropriate catalysts and under well-handled operating conditions, towards realizing their favorable (kinetic) performance and for their future application and propagation in different industrial sectors.

2. Catalysts for methane combustion

2.1. Catalyst category

Catalysts play an important role in terms of catalytic activity and reaction rate on the CMC, and are mainly categorized into metal oxide catalysts (e.g. hexaaluminate, perovskites, and single-metal oxides) and noble metal-based catalysts. The research interests on perovskites and noble metal catalysts are remarkably increasing over the years, with the latter being the most popular. The main advantages and disadvantages of catalysts are summarized in Table 2.

2.1.1. Mixed oxide catalysts

(1) *Hexaaluminate* [52,60–63] possesses a typical lamellar structure

consisting of alternatively packed spinel blocks and conduction layers (mirror symmetry plane), as shown in Fig. 4a. It can be represented by the formula AB_xAl_(12-x)O₁₉ (x = 1, 3, 6, 9, 12), wherein A is a large cation (e.g., of Na, K, Ba, La) residing in the conduction layer and B is the transition metal ion (e.g., of Mn, Fe, Co, Cu or Ni) or noble metal ion (e.g., of Ir, Ru, Pd or Rh) which substitutes A cation in both the spinel block and the conduction layer. Magnetoplumbite and β -alumina are two common structures for hexaaluminate in terms of the different arrangement, charge and radius of ions in the conduction layer [64]. Magnetoplumbite structure consists of A cation, O, Al in the conduction layers, while β -alumina consists of A cation and O. Importantly, the cation-substituted hexaaluminate with high sintering resistance greatly improves the catalytic activity in methane combustion due to the availability of the valent variation of transition metals (e.g. Mn, Ba, La, etc.) in the crystal lattice [65–67].

Hexaaluminate has been applied for CMC since 1987, owing to its exceptionally high thermal stability and strong resistance to thermal shock [71]. Thus, hexaaluminate is considered as the most suitable catalyst for high temperature applications (e.g. for gas turbines). Other main applications include the methane partial oxidation, the dry reforming of methane and the decomposition of N₂O. Although a great improvement of specific surface has been achieved, efforts are still required so as to synthesize hexaaluminate with simple procedures, as well as an excellent catalytic activity.

(2) *Perovskites* [72–74] are represented by a standard formula as ABO₃ (or more complicated as A^IB^VO₃, A^{II}B^{IV}O₃ or A^{III}B^{III}O₃). A as a larger cation is commonly composed of alkaline/rare earth elements (e.g. of La, Sr, Bi, etc.), residing on the edge of the structure for its stabilization with less effect on the catalytic activity. B as a smaller cation consists of transition metal that is surrounded by octahedral of oxygen anions, functioning as the main catalytic center. Their structure is schematically shown in Fig. 4b. The microstructure of mixed oxide catalyst is beneficial for their oxygen mobility and catalytic activity [75]. The presence of defect structure in oxygen vacancies, the existence of unusual valence and the availability of reversibly released oxygen have been considered relevant to the enhanced catalytic activity, even comparable to that of noble metal catalysts [76,77]. It can be explained by the fact that the oxygen vacancies are directly relevant to the adsorbed oxygen species over the catalyst surface. The more oxygen vacancies, the more adsorbed oxygen formed over the surface, leading to the higher catalytic activities in methane oxidation. A recent work reported by Miao et al. [78] reveals that more active oxygen species could be obtained using La(Mn, Fe)O_{3+ λ} perovskite catalyst, and the catalytic




Fig. 5. Various shaping of catalysts. (a) Powder catalyst; (b) Pellet catalyst; (c) Round ball catalyst; (d) Ring shape catalyst; (e) SEM images of γ -Al₂O₃ washcoated layer on cordierite monolith [112]; (f) Microscopic image of Pd/Al₂O₃/Fe-Ni foam [113]; (g) SEM (scanning electron microscope) images of γ -Al₂O₃ washcoated microchannel [114]; (h) SEM images of CuO/ZnO/Al₂O₃ washcoated capillary microreactor [115].

activity of CMC thereby was significantly improved. The cation-substitution of perovskites effectively increases the oxygen vacancies by varying the distribution of B oxidation state [79,80]. Different aspects of perovskites have been addressed in several review papers, including the structure, synthesis and applications [53,59,81], the acid-base catalytic properties of perovskites [82], and the lanthanum-based perovskites [83]. The mechanism and kinetics may be found in the book of Granger et al. [84].

A lower calcination temperature is required for the perovskite phase than the hexaaluminate phase [85]. Perovskite catalysts are featured by their high thermal stability as well as the improved specific surface area, displaying a better catalytic activity in CMC. The higher catalytic performance is mainly ascribed to the foreign-cation substitution, the produced oxygen lattice and the deficiency over catalyst surface. A promising direction of improvement is designing perovskite catalysts with featured morphologies (e.g., nano-sized, porous, hollow), favoring their potential industrial applications.

2.1.2. Noble metal catalysts

Noble metal catalysts have been most intensively investigated for CMC, owing to their high catalytic activity at low temperatures [57,

86–88]. Their basic structure is shown in Fig. 4c. Pd, Pt, Rh, Au and Co as the active component have been widely studied in the literature. Among them, Pd and Pt-based catalysts were reported as the most active one by far. Various support materials, such as ZrO₂, CeO₂, Al₂O₃, SnO₂, TiO₂, were considered. The base/acid properties of the support affect the catalytic activity by interacting with the oxidized/metallized state of noble metals. It was reported that the decreased acidity strength of Al₂O₃ support (with Pd as the active component) could enhance the performance of CMC [89]. Moreover, the introduction of additives (e.g. of La, Mn, Ce, Mg, V) could stabilize the catalyst support and active sites, and prolong the catalyst life. It has been reported by Farrauto et al. [90, 91] that the CeO₂ addition is favorable to prevent the catalyst deactivation. The PdO species on the catalyst surface thereby are stabilized due to the increased temperature of PdO decomposition. Moreover, the improved storage and exchange of oxygen species in the presence of CeO₂ effectively promote the Pd reoxidation, resulting in a higher catalytic performance [92]. The recent study by Toso et al. [93] illustrated that the stability of Pd/Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O₂ catalyst exposure to the water was improved by well-dispersed small Pd nanoparticles. More detailed reviews can be found in the literature [17,18,41].

The formation of active sites is mainly dependent on the support

composition, properties, and the preparation method. With respect to Pd and Pt-based catalysts, Pd is supposed to be superior to Pt, not only for the CMC but also for the oxidation of higher alkanes and olefins [94]. It is commonly considered that Pd in the oxidized state (PdO) is the most active and stable (up to 800 °C) [95]. Farrauto et al. [90] proposed that at least two different PdO species were present on the Al₂O₃ support. Dispersed PdO decomposed in a temperature range between 750 and 800 °C, whereas crystalline PdO decomposed from 800 to 850 °C. Hicks et al. [96] identified at least two different phases by infrared spectra. The crystalline palladium with a smaller size presented 10 to 100 higher catalytic activity than dispersed PdO phase. Similarly, the Pt crystalline phase has a higher catalytic activity than that in the dispersed PtO₂ phase due to the formation of chemisorbed oxygen in the crystalline phase [96].

Moreover, bi- or trimetallic catalysts have been reported to have higher catalytic activity and stability compared to monometallic ones [97–101]. For example, Pd–Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst is more active and stable than Pd/Al₂O₃ [102,103]. It has been reported that Pt–Pd catalysts showed a higher activity even than Pd–Ag, Pd–Co, Pd–Ni and Pd–Rh over the Al₂O₃ support [97]. A better synergetic effect and the formation of bi-metal structure have proved to improve the catalyst activity and life-time. Other factors such as the support structure, the particle size and the surface morphology also have significant influence on the catalytic performance. More details on the influence of these factors can be found in the references [19,104–106].

The electrochemical field-assisted CMC is a relatively novel direction in recent years owing to the synergetic effect. Electrocatalysis process commonly involves the oxidation and reduction reactions via direct electrons transformation (i.e. the produced electrical current). Electrolytes as promoting species can modify the electronic properties of the catalyst surface via the formation of favorable bonds between reactants and the electrodes. The decrease of the activation energy through the synergetic effect between electric field and catalysis results in the enhancement of reaction rate for CMC [107–109]. Li et al. [109] reported that the reaction rate of CMC over the Mn_xCo_y catalyst was remarkably accelerated by the improved reducibility of Co³⁺ in the electric field, promoting the methane activation at low temperature. The light-off temperature (T₅₀ = 255 °C) over PdCe_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O_x catalyst can be significantly reduced because of the enhanced reducibility of PdO_x

species in electric field (e.g. 3 mA current) [110]. More details on the electrochemical-assisted CMC may be found in a recent reference [111].

Although noble metal catalysts present advantages such as high specific surface area, high dispersion of active component and mild reaction conditions, the catalyst deactivation (due to sintering, particle size growth, poisoning, etc.) and the high cost are the main limitations for their large-scale application in the industry.

2.2. Shaping of catalyst

The shaping of catalysts could significantly affect the pressure drop and the reactant-catalyst mass transfer in the reactor. Fig. 5 shows a variety of catalyst shapes used for CMC. Fine powders are more suitable for being incorporated into minireactors or microreactors with higher catalyst surface area. However, powder catalysts (Fig. 5a) could lead to a high pressure drop if packed in a long (e.g., several meters) fixed-bed reactor, or possibly be blown out when used in a fluidized-bed reactor. To decrease the pressure drop, the catalyst is commonly shaped into larger bodies, e.g. pellet, round ball, cylindrical shape (Fig. 5b–d). Moreover, a sufficient mechanical strength of the catalyst support is essential for the catalyst's long-term structural durability.

Washcoated catalysts have received an increasing attention owing to its high surface area, low pressure drop and better usage of catalyst. This type of catalyst is usually used in monolithic reactors (Fig. 5e) [112, 116], foam reactors (Fig. 5f) [113,117], multichannel microreactors (Fig. 5g) [118–120] and tube reactors (Fig. 5h) [32,121]. The recent progress of washcoated and packed-bed microreactors is reviewed in the reference [50]. The washcoated catalyst is commonly deposited as a thin layer on structured surfaces using typically a dip-coating method [122]. Other methods, such as suspension method [120,123–125], sol-gel technique [126,127], chemical vapor deposition [128,129], physical vapor deposition [130,131] are also widely used. In our previous work [120], the preparation of a well-adhered Pt/γ-Al₂O₃ catalytic coating in microreactors has been elaborated by applying various binders, particle size, pH conditions, etc. The preparation of the suspension and the pretreatment of the substrate hosting the catalytic layer have to be adapted to obtain a high thermal stability and a well dispersion of the coating [118,120,132].

Table 3
Main literature results on kinetic parameters for CMC.

Reference	Catalyst	Reactant	Temperature (°C)	Conversion (%)	E _a (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	Reaction rates (μmol·g ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)
[19]	0.5% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	O ₂ /CH ₄ = 2:1	350–425	1.8–13.7	101 ± 10	
	1% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃				104 ± 1.0	
	2% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃				91.4 ± 5	
	4% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃				98.1 ± 11	
	0.5% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	O ₂ /CH ₄ = 5:1	350–500	0–28	108 ± 1.5	
	1% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃				121 ± 10	
	2% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃				100 ± 5	
	4% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃				83.8 ± 5	
[175]	NiFe ₂ O ₄	CH ₄ : 3 vol% O ₂ : 7.2 vol%	350–400	~2–13	210.8	
[176]	Co _(0.95) ZrO ₂		750–800		23	11 880
	Co _(1.9) ZrO ₂		750–800		26	18 000
	Co _(1.9) La/ZrO ₂		770–820		29	52 200
[177]	Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃	CH ₄ : 0.8 vol%	361	10%	116	2.53
	Ru ₉₀ –Re ₁₀ /γ-Al ₂ O ₃	O ₂ : 22 vol%	337	10%	104	5.17
	Ru ₇₅ –Re ₂₅ /γ-Al ₂ O ₃	N ₂ : 78 vol%	359	10%	117	2.00
[178]	γ-Al ₂ O ₃	CH ₄ : 0.5–3 vol%			21 200	
	Cu/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ (600 °C)		525	50%		1.11 × 10 ¹¹ 0.91 × 10 ¹¹
	Cu/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ (800 °C)		540	50%	21 330 Cal mol ⁻¹	
[179]	AuPd _{1.95} /CoCr ₂ O ₄	CH ₄ : 2.5 vol%	305	10%		60
		O ₂ : 20 vol%	353	50%		
		N ₂ : 77.5 vol%	394	90%		
		CH ₄ : 3 vol%	417	10%	19.3	3.30
[180]	ZrO ₂ /LaMnO ₃	O ₂ : 10 vol%	539	50%		
		N ₂ : balance	632	90%		
		air/CH ₄ = 30	550		105.9 ± 10.4	
[181]	Cu–Cr/CuCr ₂ O ₄		600		118.2 ± 0.6	




Fig. 6. Reaction routes of methane catalytic oxidation over noble metal catalysts. The bracket (a) indicates the adsorbed state and (g) the gas phase [147].

3. Mechanism and kinetic study of CMC

Compared to other higher alkanes, methane is the most stable alkane molecule with high ionization potential (12.5 eV), low electron affinity (4.4 eV) and high C–H bond energy (434 kJ mol^{−1}), rendering it extremely difficult to be activated under mild conditions. A high reaction temperature (>1400 °C) is often required for carrying out conventional methane flame combustion. Hence, mechanistic and kinetic studies are important for guiding the catalyst design and the process optimization in order to achieve an efficient combustion at relative low temperature levels (<600 °C) [133–135]. The reaction has been reported to be zero order in oxygen and first order in methane [136]. The kinetic model and the elementary steps were elaborated in the literature [137–143], and the main kinetics parameters are summarized in Table 3.

Regarding the noble metal catalyst, a great number of studies have been devoted to revealing the mechanism of catalytic methane oxidation [48,144–146]. The classic reaction routes over noble metal catalysts are shown in Fig. 6 [147]. CH₄ molecules are first adsorbed on the catalyst and dissociated to the adsorbed methyl (CH₃·) or methylene (CH₂·) species, which further interact with the adsorbed oxygen, either to directly produce CO₂ and H₂O, or to form the adsorbed CO and H₂ via formaldehyde (HCHO) as the intermediate [148,149]. The adsorbed CO and H₂ further interact with the adsorbed oxygen to form the final product (CO₂ and H₂O) based on the reactant ratios (theoretically, partial oxidation occurs at O₂/CH₄ molar ratio < 2). The adsorbed CO is predominant with the increasing methane coverage, whereas CO₂ formation is more favorable at high oxygen coverages. However, due to the swift dissociation of CO, the variation of the surface concentrations of methane and oxygen is negligible. Experimental measurements over Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts have indicated that the reaction rate determining step was shifted from the oxygen desorption to the methane adsorption with the increasing catalyst surface temperature [141]. Given the higher methane adsorption energy than oxygen [150–152], at the beginning the oxygen adsorption reaction (O₂ + 2 Pt(*) → 2O(*) + 2 Pt; * is the molecule adsorbed on the surface), this rate determining step may be additionally due to the competitive adsorption of oxygen that inhibits the methane oxidation by excluding the weakly adsorbed methane on the active sites [147]. At high oxygen atom coverages, methane is converted through the proposed reaction (CH₄ + O(*) + Pt(*) → CH₃(*) + OH(*) + Pt). As a result, the surface temperature increases due to the release of the reaction heat. The number of the adsorbed oxygen atoms is generally decreased with the increasing temperature and the reaction of the methane adsorption (CH₄ + 2 Pt(*) → CH₃(*) + H(*) + 2 Pt) becomes more prominent. The light-off phenomenon thus happens once the favorable coverage of methane and oxygen on the catalyst surface is reached [133,153].

Three types of mechanism and the corresponding kinetic models have been proposed for CMC in the literature, including the Langmuir-Hinselwood mechanism [154–156], the Eley-Rideal mechanism [157] and the Mars-van Krevelen mechanism [158–161]. The rate-determining step for both the Langmuir-Hinselwood and

Eley-Rideal mechanisms is commonly considered as the superficial reaction. The reaction rate is associated to the electronic properties of transition ions over the catalyst surface. On the contrary, the CMC is considered as the interfacial reaction by the Mars-van Krevelen mechanism; the reaction rate is mainly correlated to the lattice oxygen vacancies.

Regarding the Langmuir-Hinselwood mechanism, the molecules of both gas phase reactants are adsorbed on the catalyst surface and react via surface diffusion. The formed products are then desorbed from the catalyst surface to complete the reaction. The kinetic models of CMC over Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst proposed by Trimm and Lam [162] well fit the Langmuir-Hinselwood mechanism, indicating that both the adsorbed methane and oxygen were involved in the reaction. Their study confirmed that the temperature increase was mainly to change the reaction path from the oxygen adsorption to methane adsorption [162]. However, Jodłowski et al. [163] observed that methane over Co-Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst was only adsorbed with pre-adsorbed oxygen over the surface (under oxygen-rich conditions) by using the DRIFT (diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy), suggesting that the Langmuir-Hinselwood mechanism should not be recommended.

The Eley-Rideal mechanism suggests that only one gas phase reactant has to be adsorbed onto the catalyst surface. The adsorbed reactant then interacts with the other reactant which is still in the gas phase. Subsequently, the formed products are desorbed from the catalyst surface. Seimanides and Stoukides [157] reported that this mechanism could well predict the CMC over Pd/ZrO₂ catalyst in the range of 450–600 °C. It is likely to be the only adsorbed atomic oxygen that reacts with the gaseous methane. Veldsink et al. [164] illustrated that the Eley-Rideal mechanism was adequate to describe the experiment data, and the reaction rate equation over CuO/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst was proposed without the limitation of heat and mass transfer.

The Mars-van Krevelen mechanism is widely supported by a large amount of experimental results on CMC [165,166]. Different from the above two mechanisms, the Mars-van Krevelen mechanism suggests that the adsorbing surface is an active participant. Firstly, one of the reactants in the gas phase forms a chemical bond with the catalyst surface in the form of a thin layer (e.g. of metal oxide). Then, the remaining gas phase reactant can interact with the chemically bonded reactant, leaving behind a vacancy upon desorption of the products. However, it is not easy to distinguish between Mars-van Krevelen and Eley-Rideal mechanisms because of the existence of both the lattice and adsorbed oxygen species on the catalyst surface. Pfefferle et al. [160] further reported that one ¹⁶O atom (lattice phase) in PdO was bounded to two Pd atoms, using the in-situ technology of isotopically labeled reaction. It was found that the ¹⁶O atom in PdO was responsible to oxidize methane rather than the adsorbed ¹⁸O atom in the gas phase. This conclusion is in line with the findings of Au-Yeung et al. [167]. In addition, the variation in the oxidation valence of Pd plays an important role in the reaction, indicating that the Mars-van Krevelen mechanism is more adequate to be used for the CMC [57,168,169]. Similarly for NiCo₂O₄ perovskite catalyst, Tao et al. [77] reported that the chemisorbed lattice oxygen played an important role. The oxidized products (CO₂ and H₂O) were generated

Table 4

Summary of catalysts and reaction conditions for methane oxidation in various reactors.

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL·min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks
Hexaaluminate catalyst												
[65] 1989	BaAlAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}	Hydrolysis of metal alkoxides	15.3	Fixed-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 1 vol% In air	800	48 000 h ⁻¹	710		730		- Mn-substituted catalyst presented the best catalytic performance
	BaCrAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}		15.7					700		770		
	BaMnAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}		13.7					540		740		
	BaFeAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}		11.1					560		780		
	BaCoAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}		15.2					690		720		
	BaNiAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}		11.1					710		770		
[209] 2000	BHA	Sol-gel	<20	Flow reactor	CH ₄ : 1 vol% In air		60 000 h ⁻¹	710		~750		- Reverse microemulsions method presented a higher surface area and an excellent catalytic activity
	BHA	Reverse-micro emulsion	40–160					590	~650	~750		
[210] 2007	CeO ₂ -BHA	Co-precipitation and supercritical drying		Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 10 mm)	CH ₄ : 1 vol%, O ₂ : 4 vol%, N ₂ : balance		15 000 h ⁻¹	~400		600		- Introduction of CeO ₂ increased the surface area and enhanced the catalytic activity
	BaAl ₁₂ O _{19-α}							630	750	841		
	BaMnAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}							470	580	655		
	BaMn ₂ Al ₁₀ O _{19-α}							433	537	619		
	CeO ₂ /BaAl ₁₂ O _{19-α}		83.5					535	618	690		
	CeO ₂ /BaMnAl ₁₁ O _{19-α}		55.9					468	576	645		
	CeO ₂ /BaMn ₂ Al ₁₀ O _{19-α}		47.8					426	534	611		
	CeO ₂ /BaFeAl ₁₀ O _{19-α}		75.3					436	530	597		
Perovskite catalyst												
[211] 2005	0 wt %Pd/ LaMnO ₃ -2ZrO ₂	Incipient wetness impregnation	29.82	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 4 mm)	CH ₄ : 2 vol%, O ₂ : 14 vol%, He: balance	50			520			- ZrO ₂ introduction increased the support thermal resistance - Incipient wetness impregnation exhibited a higher catalytic performance
	0.5 wt%Pd/ LaMnO ₃ -2ZrO ₂		28.88						509			
	1 wt %Pd/ LaMnO ₃ -2ZrO ₂		29.30						485			
	2 wt %Pd/ LaMnO ₃ -2ZrO ₂		28.64						432			
	3 wt %Pd/ LaMnO ₃ -2ZrO ₂		27.43						461			
	0.5 wt %Pd/La ₂ Zr ₂ O ₇	Solution combustion							531			- An enhanced activity of La ₂ CuO ₄ due to the Sr ²⁺ doping - Excellent performance are attributed to more adsorbed oxygen species, better reducibility and single crystallinity. - Catalytic performance of substituted transition metals: Pd, Ru > Rh > Co > Mn > Ni
	1 wt %Pd/La ₂ Zr ₂ O ₇								518			
	2 wt %Pd/La ₂ Zr ₂ O ₇								476			
	3 wt % Pd/La ₂ Zr ₂ O ₇								498			
[212] 2010	La ₂ CuO ₄ -260	Co-precipitation		Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 4 mm)	CH ₄ : 2 vol%, O ₂ : 20 vol%, N ₂ : balance		50 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	548	662	739		
	La ₂ CuO ₄ -220							587	677	759		
	La ₂ CuO ₄ -180							625	722	766		
	LaSrCuO ₄ -260							482	620	667		
[213] 2012	BaZr _(1-x) Me _x O ₃	Modified citrate method		Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 7 mm)	CH ₄ : 0.5 vol %, O ₂ : 4 vol %, N ₂ : 16 vol %, He: balance	150	150 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹					
	Me = 5% Rh		15.3						625		T ₂₀ = 530	
	Me = 5% Pd		18.3						600		T ₂₀ = 520	
	Me = 15% Rh		7.3						660		T ₂₀ = 570	
	Me = 20% Rh		13.1						650		T ₂₀ = 570	
	Me = 2.73% Mn		10.7						710		T ₂₀ = 635	
	Me = 2.91% Ni		n.a.						790		T ₂₀ = 690	
	Me = 5.92% Ni		n.a.						700		T ₂₀ = 625	
	Me = 4.91% Ru		5.6						600		T ₂₀ = 520	
	Me = 1% Pt		3.1						730		T ₂₀ = 645	
	Me = 2.93% Co		13.8						640		T ₂₀ = 570	
	Me = 5.86% Co		38.5						775		T ₂₀ = 675	
	BaZrO ₃		6.3									

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL·min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks
[214] 2014	MgCr ₂ O ₄ CoCr ₂ O ₄ MgO Cr ₂ O ₃	Sol-gel	1.1 0.4	Fixed-bed	CH ₄ : 1 vol% In air		48 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	400 480 618.8 593.6		684 750 742.4 736.7		-MgCr ₂ O ₄ exhibited a higher activity than CoCr ₂ O ₄ due to the presence of Cr ⁶⁺ and bulk structure
[77] 2015	NiCo ₂ O ₄	Co-deposition precipitation	218.7 (calculated surface area with average size of 4.5 nm)	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 6 mm)	CH ₄ : 5 vol%, O ₂ : 25 vol%, Ar: balance CH ₄ : 0.2 vol %, O ₂ : 5 vol %, CO ₂ : 15 vol%, H ₂ O: 10 vol%, Ar: balance CH ₄ : 0.2 vol %, O ₂ : 5 vol %, NO: 0.15 vol%, H ₂ O: 10 vol %, Ar: balance	200	24 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	~230 ~240 ~300	~262 ~300 ~335		T ₁₀₀ : 350 T ₁₀₀ : 425 T ₁₀₀ : 475	-NiCo ₂ O ₄ showed a higher catalytic performance than Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ under the same conditions
[215] 2016	3DOM La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} MnO ₃ 1Au/ 3DOM La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} MnO ₃ 1Pd/ 3DOM La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} MnO ₃ 1AuPd/ 3DOM La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} MnO ₃ 2AuPd/ 3DOM La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} MnO ₃ 3AuPd/ 3DOM La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} MnO ₃	Templating method	32.4 32.6 32.0 33.6 33.3 33.8	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 6 mm)	CH ₄ : 5 vol%, O ₂ : 30 vol%, Ar: balance	42.8	50000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	344 338 323 304 280 265	384 375 358 350 331 314	508 402 378 382 354 336		-Au addition weakened the bond between intermediates and Pd atoms, and enriched the adsorbed oxygen species over the catalyst surface, thus enhancing the reaction rate
[216] 2016	LaFeO ₃ La _{0.8} Sr _{0.2} FeO ₃ La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} FeO ₃	Nitrate-citrate combustion synthesis		Fixed-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 5 vol%, O ₂ : 50 vol%, N ₂ : 45 vol%	1000	240 000 h ⁻¹		~650 ~550 ~640		T ₂₅ : 600 T ₆₅ : 600 T ₃₅ : 600	- Perovskite nanopowder synthesized by solution combustion - Partial substitution of La ³⁺ by Sr ²⁺ greatly increased the catalytic activity
[217] 2018	CeO ₂ CoAlO _x /CeO ₂ CoAlO _x /CeO ₂ CoAlO _x /CeO ₂ CoAlO _x /CeO ₂	Support Impregnation Microwave in- situ grown Impregnation combustion Plasma treatment	28.9 20.1 28.4 27.7 27.2	Fixed-bed (stainless steel, i.d. 8 mm)	CH ₄ : 10 vol %, O ₂ : 25 vol %, Ar: balance		24 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	523 460 418 405 335	641 511 481 464 415	614 601 590 580		- Catalytic activity of different methods: CeO ₂ (Plasma treatment) > CoAlO _x /CeO ₂ (Impregnation combustion) > CoAlO _x /CeO ₂ (Microwave in-situ grown) > CoAlO _x /CeO ₂ (Impregnation)
[76] 2018	LaMnO ₃ La _{0.8} Sr _{0.2} MnO ₃ 0.5 wt% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	Sol-gel Chemical combustion Microwave- assisted solvothermal Spray-pyrolysis	11 27 15 18 16 19 6 11	Fixed-bed (quartz, no size reported)	CH ₄ : 0.6 vol %, O ₂ : 21 vol %, Ar: balance	133	40 000 h ⁻¹	313 270 237 266 269 280 330 359 278	379 333 351 329 331 363 410 452 446	443 397 375 389 392 439 496 537 499		- Effect of different preparation methods on the catalytic performance: - Chemical combustion ≈ Solvothermal > Sol-gel > Spray-pyrolysis - Catalytic performance 1% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ > LaMnO ₃ /La _{0.8} Sr _{0.2} MnO ₃ > 0.5% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² .g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL.min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks
[218] 2018	1 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ Bulk LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ 3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ 0.44AuPd _{1.86} /3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ 0.94AuPd _{1.86} /3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ 1.91AuPd _{1.80} /3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉	Polymethyl methacrylate templating method	12 27.7 26.7 24.4 28.2	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 8 mm)	CH ₄ : 2.5 vol %, O ₂ : 20 vol %, N ₂ : balance	16.7	20 000 mL.g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	205 475 432 355	252 615 540 432	296 – 651 510		- Catalytic activity: 1.91AuPd _{1.80} /3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ > 0.94AuPd _{1.86} /3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ > 0.44AuPd _{1.86} / 3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ > 3DOM LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉ > Bulk LaMnAl ₁₁ O ₁₉
[219] 2018	CeO ₂ Ce _{0.95} Fe _{0.05} O _{2-δ} Ce _{0.9} Fe _{0.1} O _{2-δ} Ce _{0.8} Fe _{0.2} O _{2-δ} Ce _{0.7} Fe _{0.3} O _{2-δ} Ce _{0.65} Fe _{0.35} O _{2-δ} Ce _{0.6} Fe _{0.4} O _{2-δ}	Co-precipitation	64 98 70 83 109 110 114	Fixed-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 1 vol%, O ₂ : 20 vol%, N ₂ : balance	100	30 000 mL.g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹					- Catalytic activity: Ce _{0.6} Fe _{0.4} O _{2-δ} > Ce _{0.65} Fe _{0.35} O _{2-δ} δ > Ce _{0.7} Fe _{0.3} O _{2-δ} > Ce _{0.8} Fe _{0.2} O _{2-δ} δ > Ce _{0.9} Fe _{0.1} O _{2-δ} > Ce _{0.95} Fe _{0.05} O _{2-δ} > CeO ₂
Noble metal catalyst and metal oxides												
[19] 1994	4 wt% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃ 4 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	Dry impregnation		Fix-bed (i.d. 5 mm)	O ₂ / CH ₄ = 5:1 O ₂ / CH ₄ = 2:1 O ₂ / CH ₄ = 1:1 O ₂ / CH ₄ = 5:1 O ₂ /CH ₄ 1:1	200					T ₁₄ : 400 T _{49.2} : 475 T _{93.8} : 550 T ₈ : 375 T ₂₈ : 425 T ₉₆ : 450 T ₄ : 350 T ₅₉ : 375 T _{94.6} : 475 T ₂₃ : 300 T _{40.6} : 325 T _{94.5} : 400 T _{9.1} :300 T _{50.7} :375 T _{91.1} :500	- Methane conversion in order of O ₂ /CH ₄ molar ratio: 5:1 < 2:1 < 1:1 - Methane conversion in order of O ₂ /CH ₄ molar ratio: 5:1 > 2:1 > 1:1
[220] 1994	Pd-ZSM-5 PdO/Al ₂ O ₃	Ion-exchange		Fixed-bed (quartz, o. d.1/4 inch at inlet, 3/8 inch at outlet)	CH ₄ : 1 vol% In air	74	30 000 h ⁻¹	~220 ~275	~255 ~325	~270 ~350		- Catalytic activity: Pd-ZSM-5 > PdO/ Al ₂ O ₃ - Higher metal dispersion and lattice oxygen of PdO/Al ₂ O ₃ catalyst
[178] 2002	γ-Al ₂ O ₃ Cu/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ (600 °C) Cu/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ (800 °C)	Wet impregnation	180 170 144	Fluidized bed (stainless steel, i.d. 100 mm)	CH ₄ : 0.5 vol % In air	Gas velocity: 0.4–0.8 cm s ⁻¹			525 540			- Unreacted CH ₄ > 100 ppm at 0.8 m s ⁻¹ gas velocity and below 700 °C - Unreacted CH ₄ < 10 ppm at 0.4 m s ⁻¹ gas velocity and below 700 °C
[221] 2004	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ -ZrSiO ₄ Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ -SiO ₂	Washcoated monolith	334 217 356	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 26 mm)	CH ₄ : 1 vol % In air		5800 h ⁻¹	300 285 285	367 360 360	497 415 447		- Catalytic activity and thermal resistance increased by introducing SiO ₂ and ZrSiO ₄
[92] 2004	Pd-3CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ Pd-5CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ Pd-3La ₂ O ₃ /Al ₂ O ₃ Pd-5La ₂ O ₃ /Al ₂ O ₃	Sol-gel	680 343 369 650	Fixed-bed					648 623 705 723			- The higher Ce content, the higher catalytic activity - La addition resulted in a lower catalytic activity due to less oxygen donor species present
[222] 2005	2 wt% Pd/CeO ₂ 2 wt% Pd/CeO ₂	Deposition- precipitation Impregnation	58.8 53.6	Fix-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 1 vol% In air		50 000 h ⁻¹	224 458	257 557		T ₁₀₀ : 300	- Highest catalytic activity with 2 wt % Pd/CeO ₂ prepared by DP method - Strong interaction between Pd and

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² .g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL.min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks
[103] 2005	2 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	Deposition- precipitation	193	Flow reactor			250 000 h ⁻¹	307	349		T ₁₀₀ : 410	CeO ₂ produced a large amount of active oxygen - Effect of pressure on catalytic performance: 5 bar: ~5.8% conversion 7.5 bar: ~3.5% 10 bar: ~2.5% 12.5 bar: ~2.2% 15 bar: ~1.7% 5 bar: ~7.2% 7.5 bar: ~5.2% 10 bar: ~4% 12.5 bar: ~3.6% 15 bar: ~3.5%
	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	Incipient wetness impregnation	102									
	PdPt/Al ₂ O ₃		91									
[184] 2007	Pd/HZSM-5	Precipitation	498.1	Fixed-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 2 vol%, O ₂ : 8 vol%, N ₂ : balance		48 000 h ⁻¹	317	351		T ₁₀₀ : 351	- The highest catalytic activity with Pd-Ce/HZSM-5 - The addition of CeO ₂ promoted the catalytic activity
	Pd-Ce/HZSM-5		493.5					290	336		T ₁₀₀ : 336	
	Pd-La/HZSM-5		438.4					331	387		T ₁₀₀ : 387	
	Pd-Sm/HZSM-5		455.0					326	374		T ₁₀₀ : 374	
	Pd-Nd/HZSM-5		462.2					322	371		T ₁₀₀ : 371	
	Pd-Tb/HZSM-5		419.1					329	388		T ₁₀₀ : 388	
	Pd/γ-Al ₂ O ₃							352	443		T ₁₀₀ : 443	
[223] 2008	Pd/SiO ₂	Deposition- precipitation		Fixed-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 1 vol% In air	100	51 000 h ⁻¹	410	526		T ₁₀₀ : 526	- Catalyst prepared by HDP method showed a higher catalytic activity than DP method for methane combustion
	Au/Fe ₂ O ₃		33					410	496		T ₉₅ : 565	
	Au/Fe ₂ O ₃		24					302	387		T ₉₅ : 488	
	Fe ₂ O ₃		51					468	575		T ₉₅ : 650	
[224] 2009	Co ₁₀ Mn ₀	Co-precipitation Powder	62.55	Fixed-bed (quartz i.d. 8 mm)	CH ₄ : 1 vol%, O ₂ : 10 vol%, N ₂ : balance	150	36 000 h ⁻¹		297	339	T ₂₀ : 265	- Appropriate Mn addition led to disorders in the spinel structure, and thus increased crystal defections and increased activity
	Co ₅ Mn ₁		147.65						293	324	T ₂₀ : 280	
	Co ₃ Mn ₁		158.46						306	333	T ₂₀ : 282	
	Co ₁ Mn ₁		150.25						350	386	T ₂₀ : 323	
	Co ₁ Mn ₃		154.49						351	392	T ₂₀ : 323	
	Co ₀ Mn ₁₀		183.94						358	393	T ₂₀ : 331	
[225] 2009	Pd/SiO ₂	Sol-gel	688	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 12 mm)	CH ₄ : 0.3 vol %, O ₂ : 2.4 vol%, He: balance (SO ₂ -free)	50	60 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹		355			- The combination of TiO ₂ and SiO ₂ promoted the catalytic performance - TiO ₂ and SiO ₂ increased the catalyst SO ₂ poisoning tolerance
	Pd/Ti5Si		328						332			
	Pd/Ti10Si		284						312			
	Pd/Ti15Si		243						321			
	Pd/Ti20Si		170						326			
	Pd/TiO ₂		38						337			
	Pd/SiO ₂								395			
	Pd/Ti5Si								379			
	Pd/Ti10Si								365			
	Pd/Ti15Si								n.a.			
	Pd/Ti20Si								381			
	Pd/TiO ₂								346			
[118] 2009	Pt-W/γ-Al ₂ O ₃	Washcoated	214	Microchannel	CH ₄ : 9.1 vol %	107	74 000 h ⁻¹	399	493		T ₁₀₀ : 600	- Pt-W/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ showed 100% methane combustion and 99% CO ₂ formation at 600 °C for 60 h test, 98% CH ₄ conversion after 100 h
	commercial											
	Pt-W/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ home-made		123					430	528		T ₁₀₀ : 625	
	Pt-Mo/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ commercial		242					443	526		T ₁₀₀ : 625	
	Pt-Mo/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ home-made		150					470	554		T ₁₀₀ : 650	
	2 wt% Pd/CeO ₂ .2ZrO ₂					50						

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL·min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks
[226] 2010	Fresh 1 week aged 2 weeks aged 2 wt% Pd/ LaMnO ₃ ·2ZrO ₂ Fresh 1 week aged 2 weeks aged 2 wt% Pd/ BaCeO ₃ ·2ZrO ₂ Fresh 1 week aged 2 weeks aged	Solution combustion synthesis	74.6 65.8 34.8 132.5 69.8 21.6	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 4 mm)	CH ₄ : 2 vol%, O ₂ : 16 vol%, He: balance			340 336 345 450 500 360	382 383 421 570 625 450	429 419 498 645 690 550		- Lower catalytic activity with catalyst aging time: Pd/ CeO ₂ ·2ZrO ₂ ; - Increased catalytic activity with catalyst aging time: Pd/ LaMnO ₃ ·2ZrO ₂ Pd/BaCeO ₃ ·2ZrO ₂
[88] 2010	Pd _(1.0) /Al ₂ O ₃ Pt _(1.5) Rh _(0.3) /Al ₂ O ₃ Pt _(1.0) Pd _(0.75) Rh _(0.25) / Al ₂ O ₃ (molar ratio)	Impregnation	15.4	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 8 mm)	CH ₄ : 0.1 vol %, O ₂ : 16.74 vol %, N ₂ : 83.16 vol%	41.6	10 000 h ⁻¹		474 415 388	520 460 442		- Trimetallic catalytic activity depended on noble metal composition: PtPdRh/Al ₂ O ₃ > PtRh/Al ₂ O ₃ >Pd/Al ₂ O ₃
[227] 2015	CoAlO-500 °C CoAlO-600 °C CoAlO-700 °C Ag NPs: Ag-CoAlO-500 °C Ag-CoAlO-600 °C Ag-CoAlO-700 °C	Deposition	88.6 262.6 29.1 96.2 69.5 212.4	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 6 mm)	CH ₄ : 2 vol%, O ₂ : 20 vol%, N ₂ : 78 vol%		30 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	462 471 477 393 343 371	538 562 585 516 444 475	618 629 656 571 522 555		- Catalytic activity: CoAlO - 700 °C < CoAlO - 600 °C < CoAlO - 500 °C <Ag-CoAlO - 500 °C <Ag-CoAlO - 700 °C <Ag-CoAlO - 600 °C - The highest catalytic activity with Ag-CoAlO-600 °C due to abundant Co ³⁺ and adsorbed oxygen SO ₂ addition (irreversible) -100 ppm SO ₂ addition: Conversion drop from 90.5% to 73.6% after 31 h - regeneration: CH ₄ conversion slightly increased to 74.2% after 16 h H ₂ O addition (reversible) -2 vol% H ₂ O addition: Conversion drop from 93% to 91% -5 vol% H ₂ O addition: Conversion drop from 91% to 90% -10 vol% H ₂ O addition: Conversion drop from 90% to 89% CO ₂ addition (reversible) -2.5 vol% CO ₂ addition: ca.2% decrease in methane conversion after 13 h -5 vol% CO ₂ addition: ca.1% decrease in methane conversion after 4 h -regeneration restore to original ca.90.5% of methane conversion - Catalyst activity vs. Pd loading: 4.8% > 3.2% - CH ₄ conversion decreased with the increasing flow rate
[201] 2015	2.94Au _{0.50} Pd/meso- Co ₃ O ₄		114.5	Fixed-bed (quartz)	SO ₂ addition H ₂ O addition CO ₂ addition		20 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	230 263 242 269	280 312 288 321	324 378 334 397		
[113] 2015	Fe-Ni 3.2 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ / Fe-Ni	Washcoated		Tubular (inner i.d. 20 mm, outer: i.d. 32 mm)	CH ₄ : 5 vol% CH ₄ : 5 vol%	50 50		T ₁₀ : 550 T ₁₀ : 350	 T ₃₅ : 400		T ₉₈ : 500	- Catalyst activity vs. Pd loading: 4.8% > 3.2% - CH ₄ conversion decreased with the increasing flow rate

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL·min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks	
[177] 2016	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni	Wet impregnation	316	Fixed bed (i.d. 8 mm)	CH ₄ : 5 vol%	50	60 000 h ⁻¹	T ₁₆ : 350	T ₄₀ : 400		T ₉₈ : 550	- CH ₄ conversion slightly increased with the increasing CH ₄ concentration	
	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni				CH ₄ : 5 vol%	100		T ₁₀ : 400	T ₅₀ : 500		T ₉₅ : 550		
	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni				CH ₄ : 5 vol%	150		T ₉ : 400	T ₄₅ : 500		T ₆₅ : 550		
	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni				CH ₄ : 5 vol%	200		T ₇ : 400	T ₃₅ : 500		T ₆₂ : 550		
	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni				CH ₄ : 2 vol%	50		T ₁₀ : 350	T ₆₀ : 450		T ₈₈ : 500		
	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni				CH ₄ : 3 vol%	50		T ₁₂ : 350	T ₆₈ : 450		T ₉₀ : 500		
	4.8 wt% Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe–Ni				CH ₄ : 4 vol%	50		T ₁₅ : 350	T ₇₀ : 450		T ₉₃ : 500		
	3 wt%Re/γ-Al ₂ O ₃				CH ₄ : 0.8 vol %	100		535	650		T ₉₅ : 800	- Pretreatment of catalyst greatly affected the activity - Re addition improved the activity	
	5 wt%Pd/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ (degussa)				%	In air		300	331		T ₉₅ : 403		
	5 wt%Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ (fluka)				90	386		436	T ₉₅ : 555				
	5 wt0%Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃				183	361		407	T ₉₅ : 525				
	Ru ₉₀ –Re ₁₀ /γ-Al ₂ O ₃				174	337		391	T ₉₅ : 506				
	Ru ₇₅ –Re ₂₅ /γ-Al ₂ O ₃				147	359		411	T ₉₅ : 538				
	0.5 wt% Pd/γ-Al ₂ O ₃				Fluidized bed (i.d. 102 mm)	CH ₄ : 0.15 vol %		velocity 0.1 m s ⁻¹	T _{62.2} : 450 T _{94.5} : 550		- At 550 °C, 0.3 %CH ₄ velocity from 0.1–0.25 m s ⁻¹ , CH ₄ conversion: 98%–73%		
[229] 2016	Co ₃ O ₄ - 200 °C Co ₃ O ₄ - 400 °C Co ₃ O ₄ - 600 °C		136.2 41.5 7.4		CH ₄ : 1 vol%, O ₂ : 20 vol%		18 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹		270 292 373			- Catalytic activity: Co ₃ O ₄ - 200 °C > Co ₃ O ₄ - 400 °C > Co ₃ O ₄ - 600 °C	
[179] 2017	3DOM CoCr ₂ O ₄ (Three-dimensionally ordered macroporous)		33.2	Fixed-bed (quartz, i.d. 6 mm)	CH ₄ : 2.5 vol %, O ₂ : 20 vol %, N ₂ : 77.5 vol%	16.7	20 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	320	370	440		- Catalytic performance decreased with the increasing space velocity - 1.93AuPd _{1.95} /3DOM CoCr ₂ O ₄ presented the best activity - Addition of H ₂ O(g) and SO ₂ resulted in catalyst deactivation	
	0.98AuPd _{1.93} /3DOM CoCr ₂ O ₄		35.6					310	362	410			
	1.93AuPd _{1.95} /3DOM CoCr ₂ O ₄		34.9					305	353	394			
	1.98AuPd _{1.96} /Bulk CoCr ₂ O ₄		8.8					325	385	467			
	Bulk CoCr ₂ O ₄		7.2					335	400	490			
	1.93AuPd _{1.95} /3DOM CoCr ₂ O ₄					10 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	~290	~345	~382				
	1.93AuPd _{1.95} /3DOM CoCr ₂ O ₄					40 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	~325	~380	~43				
	[230] 2017	Pd/CoAl ₂ O ₄ /Al ₂ O ₃	Galvanic deposition Impregnation		Fixed-bed (Pyrex glass, i. d. 4 mm)	CH ₄ : 0.4 vol %, O ₂ : 10 vol %, He: balance	100	300 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	266	~295			- Catalyst by galvanic deposition method performed better
	[231] 2017								285	~335			
		Pd/ZrO ₂	Sonochemically aided impregnation synthesis	24.23	Fixed-bed	CH ₄ :	150 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹					T ₋₉₅ = 300	- Catalytic activity: Co/ZrO ₂ > Pd/ZrO ₂ /SDS > Cr/ZrO ₂ > Cu/ZrO ₂ > Cu/ZrO ₂ /SDS > Cr/ZrO ₂ /SDS > Co/ZrO ₂ /SDS > Pd/ZrO ₂
		Co/ZrO ₂		22.91		T ₋₃₅ = 300							
Cu/ZrO ₂		24.76			T ₋₁₄ = 300								
Cr/ZrO ₂		22.93			T ₋₂₂ = 300								
Pd/ZrO ₂ /SDS		25.10			T ₋₂₀ = 300								
Co/ZrO ₂ /SDS		24.97			T ₋₈ = 300								
Cu/ZrO ₂ /SDS		22.28			T ₋₁₀ = 300								
	23.04			T ₋₁₄ = 300									

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

Reference/ year ^a	Catalyst	Preparation method	BET surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Reactor (material, size)	Reactant	Total flow rate (mL min ⁻¹)	Space velocity	T ₁₀ (°C) ^b	T ₅₀ (°C) ^b	T ₉₀ (°C) ^b	T _x (°C) ^b X = conv%	Remarks
Cr/ZrO ₂ /SDS (SDS: sodium dodecyl sulphate)												
[232] 2019	CoO _x @ SiO ₂ - 400 °C	Deposition	389	Fixed-bed (quartz)	CH ₄ : 2 vol%, O ₂ : 20 vol%, N ₂ : balance	250	15 000 mL g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹					- Catalytic activity: CoO _x @ SiO ₂ - 400 °C > CoO _x @ SiO ₂ - 600 °C > CoO _x @ SiO ₂ - 800 °C
	CoO _x @ SiO ₂ - 600 °C		290								T ₁₀₀ = 330 T ₁₀₀ = ~380 T ₋₈₅ = ~400	
	CoO _x @ SiO ₂ - 800 °C		225									

Notes.


^a Mentioned studies in Table 4 are listed in the order of published year.^b T₁₀/T₅₀/T₉₀: the temperature at which the methane conversion is 10%, 50% and 90%.

Fig. 7. Reaction rate as a function of temperature [186].

by the competitive adsorption, and surface vacancies subsequently left behind by the fast re-oxidation on the catalyst surface. Note that the DRIFT associated with Raman and X-ray fluorescence spectroscopies has been applied as important in-situ technologies by Jodłowski et al. [163] for the CMC over Co-Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The proposed mechanism is slightly different from the Mars-van Krevelen mechanism, in that only the adsorbed active oxygen species on the catalyst surface was responsible to oxidize methane instead of the bulk oxygen atoms. Furthermore, the presence of -OCH₃ species was detected, rather than HCHO and H₂ in the gas phase. These results are also supported by other studies [170, 171].

Therefore, there is no unanimous mechanism so far to fully elaborate the CMC, given the whole processes being rather complex and strongly dependent on the reaction conditions and used catalysts [172,173]. The Mars-van Krevelen mechanism seems to be more widely accepted than the Langmuir-Hinshelwood and Eley-Rideal mechanisms. In this respect, more in-depth understanding is still needed to better elucidate the reaction pathway [174]. More details on the reaction mechanisms may be found in the literature [138–143].

4. Effect of operational conditions on CMC

In this section, main factors that should be carefully assessed are reviewed so as to determine the appropriate working conditions for




Fig. 8. Temperature distribution along the reactor length.




Fig. 9. CH₄ conversion as a function of the space velocity or residence time.

CMC, including the temperature, the ratio of methane to oxygen, the flow rate, the reactant composition and the pressure. The reaction behavior addressed in this section, unless otherwise specified, is assumed to be intrinsic. A summary of the studies over various catalysts and the key influential factors can be found in Table 4.

4.1. Effect of temperature

In general, the intrinsic reaction rate for CMC is correlated to temperature and activation energy according to the Arrhenius equation [182,183]. The reaction rate presents a great increase with the increasing reaction temperature.

Light-off temperature is one of the most crucial parameters used to indicate the catalyst activity [118,184,185]. Fig. 7 shows the reaction regime as a function of the temperature. At the beginning, the temperature over the catalyst is low and thus the reaction rate is limited by the intrinsic kinetics (regime A-B). The light-off is commonly defined by the regime where the temperature has no significant change when the conversion is increased from 10%, 20% up to 50% (regime B-C) [186]. As light-off happens at comparatively high temperature levels, the intrinsic reaction rate rapidly increases but gradually the mass transfer rate cannot keep up with (regime B-C). Hence, the overall reaction rate tends to be limited more by the mass transfer rate. Upon further increase of the reaction temperature, the intrinsic reaction rate is increased so fast that the reaction falls in the mass transfer controlled regime (regime C-D). In this regime, the rate of increase in the overall reaction rate becomes slower. Eventually at substantially high temperature levels, the homogeneous combustion of methane dominates (regime D). The latter two regimes impose high requirements on the reactor design in terms of maintaining the catalyst stability and enhancing the mass and heat transfer rates. The effect of high working temperatures on the shortened life-time due to the catalyst sintering should be considered, especially for noble metal catalysts.

As for wall-coated plate-type/microchannel reactor, the temperature distribution along the catalytic reactor commonly displays a rapid increase at the entrance region, and a smooth decrease along the reactor length thereafter, as shown in Fig. 8. This is because that methane is mainly converted at the front section of the reactor [187]. However, the peak temperature region moves slowly towards the downstream with the increasing oxygen/methane ratio. The variation of temperature along the reactor mainly depends on the reactant flow pattern and the reactor structure, which will be elaborated in the following section 5.

Moreover, the pollutant emission could be significantly affected by the operational temperature. With the presence of N₂ in the reactant feed, it was reported that NO_x emission showed a growing trend with the




Fig. 10. CH₄ conversion as a function of temperature under different oxygen-to-methane molar ratios over Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst [19].

increasing temperature [188]. The formation of CO is often due to the incomplete methane combustion under low temperatures and/or with a methane rich mixture.

In addition, it is worth noting that the catalyst deactivation is significantly influenced by the operational temperature. For instance, the active PdO phase is decomposed to the less active metallic palladium (PdO → Pd) at above 800 °C, followed by the agglomeration and deactivation of catalysts [95]. It is thus essential to maintain the operational temperature below the thermal decomposition temperature of PdO. Another solution is to increase the decomposition temperature by optimizing the catalyst, e.g. by introducing metal oxides [189]. Farrauto et al. [90] pointed out that the addition of ZrO₂ into PdO/Al₂O₃ catalyst exhibited a superior synergistic effect between the temperature hysteresis and the active site reformation. The decomposition temperature of PdO was thus increased to ca. 900 °C. In order to regenerate the catalyst, Farrauto et al. [90] suggested that the reoxidation temperature of metallic Pd occurred at ca. 650 °C, and methane conversion increased after reoxidation process [90]. Another reason causing the catalyst deactivation at low temperatures (e.g. below 450 °C) may be the overwhelming accumulation of hydroxyl group over the catalyst surface [190]. It hinders the migration and exchange of the active oxygen species between the catalyst support and active sites (PdO/Pd), resulting in the catalyst sintering. This is further confirmed by the water vapor effect on the methane conversion to be discussed in the section 4.4.

4.2. Effect of space velocity and residence time

Theoretically, the methane conversion presents an inverse proportion to the space velocity, as shown in Fig. 9. It was observed that the methane conversion at 400 °C increased from 72% to 100% over Au-Pd catalyst when decreasing the space velocity of reactants from 40 000 to 10 000 mL·g⁻¹·h⁻¹ [179] (cf. the detailed experimental data in Table 4). A similar tendency over various catalysts has also been reported by other researchers [88,113]. This can be theoretically explained by the fact that the more accessibility of reactants (at longer residence times) over the active sites of catalyst favors the conversion of the adsorbed methane molecules. However, the side reactions and coke deposition are more likely to occur under long residence times [191].

The methane conversion is directly relevant to the intrinsic reaction kinetics and mass transfer. The internal mass transfer mostly depends on the catalyst properties (e.g. diffusion in the pore structure, size and volume). The external mass transfer from gas phase to the catalyst surface is limited by the residence time (i.e., the flow rate of reactants). As for the exothermic reaction, the effect of the temperature gradient on the




Fig. 11. Effect of (a) SO₂ (b) H₂O (c) CO₂ on the methane conversion. T in figures indicated the reaction temperature. Conditions: Au–Pd/Co₃O₄ catalyst, space velocity, 20 000 mL·g_{cat}^{−1}·h^{−1} [201].

reaction rate should also be considered. It has been reported that at a certain temperature, a steady-state conversion rate could be reached with the increasing flow rate. After that, a further increase in the flow rate could not have any influence on the methane conversion. The mass transfer limitation is thus negligible at higher flow rates [192].

Moreover, in order to obtain sufficient reaction heat for heating purposes, higher reactant space velocity is required, but usually accompanied with a lower methane conversion. Hence, a compromise

between the space velocity and the methane conversion should be reached for a certain application.

4.3. Effect of oxygen to methane molar ratio

The selectivity variation of products strongly depends on the molar ratio of oxygen to methane. Mouaddib et al. [193] investigated the effect of oxygen to methane molar ratio in the range of 4 to 0.66 over Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst. It was observed that CO was formed under oxygen deficient conditions ($O_2/CH_4 < 2$) due to the side reaction of methane steam reforming. And CO became a main product when O_2/CH_4 molar ratio reached 0.66 [193]. Similarly, Lee et al. [186] reported that CO was formed over Pt/Al₂O₃, Pd/Al₂O₃ and Rh/Al₂O₃ catalysts in the presence of oxygen deficient mixtures as feedstock. The selectivity of CO also depended on the reaction temperature. With the increasing reaction temperature, the CO selectivity constantly increased and became a main product under low O_2/CH_4 molar ratio. But the methane conversion was independent to the presence of CO in the feedstock [186].

Burch et al. [19] investigated the effect of different molar ratios of oxygen to methane on Pt/Al₂O₃ and Pd/Al₂O₃ catalysts. Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst became more active from the oxygen-rich ($O_2/CH_4 = 5:1$) condition to methane rich ($O_2/CH_4 = 1:1$) condition, because the less oxidized platinum was more active than the oxidized platinum. As for Pt-based catalyst, Drozdov et al. [194] further explained that a weaker bond existed between oxygen and metallic platinum than that with platinum oxides. Thus, Pt-based catalyst is less active in oxygen-rich mixtures. On the contrary, as for Pd-based catalyst, it has been found that the oxygen is weakly bound to palladium oxides compared with metallic palladium [194]. Thus, the Pd-based catalyst is more active in oxygen-rich mixture [19,195].

The methane conversion at different oxygen-to-methane molar ratios does not display the same augmentation as the reaction temperature increases. More interestingly, the light-off phenomenon was observed to be significantly influenced by the oxygen to methane molar ratio and the resulted degree of reactant surface coverages [19]. It was found that the methane conversion over Pt/Al₂O₃ increased with the decreasing oxygen-to-methane molar ratio (e.g., from 5:1 to 1:1 as shown in Fig. 10; cf. detailed experimental results listed in Table 4) at lower temperatures (ca. 300–425 °C, in terms of different Pt loading) [19]. At higher temperatures (ca. 450–550 °C), the methane conversion at such a molar ratio of 1:1 became lower than that at 2:1, due to the insufficient oxygen supply, and the light-off was observed in the latter case. This phenomenon could be explained by the fact that the favorable concentration of CH₄ and O₂ over the catalyst surface and/or the more significant local heat release greatly accelerate the reaction rate. However, no light-off was found under an oxygen-to-methane molar ratio of 5:1 because the excessively adsorbed oxygen resulted in a non-optimized surface coverage of methane, eventually hindering the light-off. The competitive adsorption between the adsorbed methane and oxygen species could affect the methane conversion. The lower methane conversion at the case of 5:1 ratio (Fig. 10) could be explained by the fact that more adsorbed oxygen species over the catalytic surface suppress the methane absorption due to the high methane adsorption energy [147,150].

Regarding the pollutant emissions, a lean reactant mixture is preferred in order to lower the emissions of CO, NO_x and the unburned hydrocarbon [196]. It has been reported that CO was detected at a methane concentration higher than 9.5 vol% and carbon deposition occurred on catalyst surfaces at a methane concentration above 26.5 vol % [197].

4.4. Effect of (synthetic) natural gas composition

Besides methane, natural gas is commonly composed of varying amounts of higher alkanes (e.g., ethane, propane) and other species depending on the resources. In this section, the effect of H₂S, H₂O, NO and CO₂ on the catalytic methane conversion is discussed, more details

Table 5

Summary of thermal parameters for various reactors.

Reference	Reactor	Catalyst	Reactant	T _x (°C) X = conv %	Heat transfer	Heat released	Heat recovery efficiency	Input power	Gas exhaust	Remarks
[29] 1999	Monolith (Fig. 16b)	One monolith Two monoliths	Air/CH ₄ 1.1–1.5			15–40 W cm ⁻²			NO _x : 5 ppm CO: 0 ppm NO _x : 0 ppm CO: 0 ppm CH ₄ : 0 ppm	- Long coating → CO emission - Short coating → NO _x emission - Completely catalytic boiler: No emissions, and lower sensitivity to gas quality - E _a 1.0–1.5: catalytic combustion E _a : 1.75–2.0: flame occurred E _a > 2.1: flame blown off E _a 1.25–1.75: stable catalytic combustion - Over 95% methane was converted within 8 mm from the entrance
[187] 1999	Monolith	Pd–NiO/Al ₂ O ₃	E _a : 1.0 1.25 1.5 2.0			6.2–13.4 kcal h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	~90% ~100% ~100% ~100%			- <500 °C: methane conversion was greatly affected by the inlet temperature - >500 °C: methane conversion was slightly affected by the inlet temperature - Higher flowrate → more heat removed → lower surface temperature → decreased conversion - Surface area should be as large as possible
[32] 2003	Fin reactor (Fig. 14a)	Pd/ZrO ₂	0.19 m s ⁻¹ 0.11 m s ⁻¹ 0.15 m s ⁻¹ 0.23 m s ⁻¹ 0.12–0.31 m s ⁻¹	T ₃₅ : 400 T ₇₀ : 450 T ₇₈ : 500 T ₈₀ : 600 T _{99.9} : 500 T _{99.9} : 500 T ₇₆ : 500 T _{98.5} : 500	Air as HTF (heat transfer fluid)		T _{fin surface} : ~300–750 °C T _{outlet} : ~727–927 °C			- <500 °C: methane conversion was greatly affected by the inlet temperature - >500 °C: methane conversion was slightly affected by the inlet temperature - Higher flowrate → more heat removed → lower surface temperature → decreased conversion - Surface area should be as large as possible
[33] 2005	Monolith	Co ₃ O ₄ /Fe ₂ O ₃ /MnO ₂	CH ₄ : O ₂ : N ₂ 1:4:5	T ₁₀ : 358 °C T ₉₀ : 378 °C	T _{inlet} : 16.8 °C T _{outlet} : 42.0 °C (to heat water: 11.2 kg min ⁻¹)	19.9 kW 80 W cm ⁻²	101.1%		CO: 0.01% NO _x : 22 ppm O ₂ : 5.6% Hydrocarbon: 0 ppm	- Burner with two heat exchanger on two sides of monolith catalyst is able to enhance the heat efficiency and reduce the pollutant
[282] 2006	Monolith (450 × 200 × 700 mm)	Pt-based	1.00 m ³ s ⁻¹ 1.17 m ³ s ⁻¹ 1.33 m ³ s ⁻¹ 1.50 m ³ s ⁻¹ 1.67 m ³ s ⁻¹ (natural gas flow rate)		T _{exhaust} : 73 °C T _{exhaust} : 90 °C T _{exhaust} : 93 °C T _{exhaust} : 106 °C T _{exhaust} : 114 °C		99%–99.5%	3.5 kW 4.0 kW 4.6 kW 5.2 kW 5.7 kW	NO: 3 ppm, CO:1, SO ₂ :1 NO: 2 ppm, CO:1, SO ₂ :1 NO: 2 ppm, CO:0, SO ₂ :2 NO: 0 ppm, CO:0, SO ₂ :2 NO: 0 ppm, CO:0, SO ₂ :4	- E _a :2.08, 6.88% heat loss at an exhaust temperature of 114 °C - High combustion efficiency and near-zero emission
[242] 2007	Fixed-bed central heat exchange (Fig. 12c) Hot gas withdrawal (Fig. 12d)	MnO ₂ MnO ₂ Pd		99.9% 97.1% 92.4%	T _{inlet} : 833 °C T _{outlet} : 683 °C T _{inlet} : 878 °C T _{outlet} : 60 °C T _{inlet} : 670 °C T _{outlet} : 60 °C	1.89 MW ^a 2.85 MW 2.16 MW	63.3% 95.5% 72.0%			- Heat recovery efficiency: hot gas withdrawal > central heat exchange - MnO ₂ > Pd catalyst - Conversion: central heat exchange > hot gas withdrawal
[283] 2007	Fixed-bed	Pd/ LaMnO ₃ :2ZrO ₂	E _a : 2–45%		T _{inlet} : 30–60 °C			10–25 kW		- Great improvement of catalytic performance was

(continued on next page)

Table 5 (continued)

Reference	Reactor	Catalyst	Reactant	T _x (°C) X = conv %	Heat transfer	Heat released	Heat recovery efficiency	Input power	Gas exhaust	Remarks
[31] 2009	Fixed-bed (i. d.: 50 mm L: 120 mm)	Pd/LaMnO ₃ / ZrO ₂ Pd/CeO ₂ /ZrO ₂ Pd/BaCeO ₃ /ZrO ₂ (catalyst coating on FeCrAl fibre mat)	E _a : 5% ^b E _a : 30% E _a : 60%	T ₅₀ : 570 T ₅₀ : 382 T ₅₀ : 512	T _{outlet} : 50–80 °C T _{inlet} : 30 °C T _{outlet} : 50 °C (heat up water)			10 kW 22 kW 35 kW 10 kW 22 kW 35 kW 10 kW 22 kW 35 kW	~65–85 mg.kWh ⁻¹ ~150–160 ~160–175 ~40–50 ~45–50 ~55–65 ~0 ~0 ~0	observed after sulfur aging - NO increased at low input power - NO decreased at high air excess
[245] 2009	Fixed-bed hot gas withdrawal without returning cold gases	Pd-washcoated monolith	3000 ppm 5000 ppm 7000 ppm 9000 ppm 3000–9000 ppm	T ₉₇₋₉₉ : 400 T ₉₇₋₉₉ : 420–460 T ₉₈₋₉₉ : 430–550 T ₉₉₋₁₀₀ : 440–650 T ₉₈₋₁₀₀ : 400–500		9.8 MW 16.4 MW 23.0 MW 29.5 MW	10–100% 0–73%			- Stability: withdrawal hot gas at bed end > withdrawal hot gas at center; no returning cold gas > returning - At the end of bed without returning cold gas showed the best conversion and heat recovery efficiency
[284] 2015	Fixed-bed L: 40 mm L: 20 mm L: 10 mm	Pt/Al ₂ O ₃ Pt/ZSM-5	Flow rate: 800 mL min ⁻¹ 1400 mL min ⁻¹ 2000 mL min ⁻¹ 800 mL min ⁻¹ 1400 mL min ⁻¹ 2000 mL min ⁻¹ 800 mL min ⁻¹ 1400 mL min ⁻¹ 1800 mL min ⁻¹	T ₇₆ : ~230 T ₅₇ : ~395 T ₃₆ : ~350 T ₅₈ : ~310 T ₈₀ : ~335 T ₉₀ : ~350 T ₃₃ : ~250 T ₂₅ : ~255 T ₁₈ : ~210	Q _{surface} ~7 W ~9.6 W ~8.2 W ~7.7 W ~8.9 W ~9.2 W ~6.4 W ~6.8 W ~6.2 W			35.2 W 61.7 W 88.1 W 35.2 W 61.7 W 88.1 W 35.2 W 61.7 W 79.2 W		- 20 mm catalyst bed length showed better catalytic performance and combustion efficiency than 40 mm and 10 mm bed length, because it balanced the catalyst spatial density and the residence time
[275] 2015	Membrane reactor Coupled with steam reforming reactor (Fig. 17d)	Pd/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ Ru–MgO–La ₂ O ₃ / γ-Al ₂ O ₃	3–4% CH ₄ In air	T _{73.5-91.2} : 555–575 °C T ₋₉₁ : 570 °C	Yield of H ₂ : 103–153 kg·day ⁻¹ ·kg _{cat} ⁻¹					- 91% methane conversion in steam reforming, and 99.99% purity hydrogen can be obtained by the provided heated from methane combustion

Note.

a. Amount of heat flux withdrawal.

b. E_a: air excess.c. Q_{surface}: heat release rate transferred via combustor surface.

can be found in the references [179,198].

Noble metal catalysts are easily poisoned when exposed to natural gas containing sulfur compounds (Fig. 11a). For instance, the irreversible deactivation by SO₂ might be attributed to its occupation of the active sites, and/or the transformation of the highly active compound PdO on the catalyst surface to less active PdSO₃ or PdSO₄. Similar results were also reported in the literature [199,200]. The highly challenging issue of the resistance to poisoning by sulfur containing compounds present in trace amounts in the natural gas (odorizer) should be fully addressed. Developing catalysts with improved resistance to sulfur poisoning and possible desulfurization pretreatment are possible measures.

The presence of water vapor acts as an inhibitor for CMC [165,202]. It was observed that the methane conversion over the Au–Pd/Co₃O₄ catalyst dropped remarkably when water vapor was introduced, but this process is reversible when the water vapor is removed (Fig. 11b) [201]. Specifically, the hydroxyl group was formed by reaction of the chemisorbed oxygen species with the water vapor over the catalyst surface, preventing thereby the exchange of the oxygen species between the active sites and the support [190,203]. Moreover, the hydroxyl group also hindered the desorption of CO₂ and H₂O over the catalyst surface due to the competitive adsorption. The kinetic study by Geng et al. [203] illustrated that the reaction order with respect to methane decreased from 1.07 to 0.86 after the water vapor addition, and the reaction order

Table 6
Advantages and disadvantages of various reactor types for CMC.

Reactor type	Advantages	Disadvantages
Fixed-bed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Easy operation - Low cost - Suitable for industrial uses - Enhanced catalyst spatial density 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Low reactor surface area - Poor temperature uniformity - High pressure drop - High manufacture cost - Not easy to replace the catalyst if washcoated
Micro/mini structured	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High surface-to-volume ratio - High mass/heat transfer rate - Low pressure drop - Inherent safety (e.g. hazardous reaction mixtures can be handled safely due to channel dimensions below the quenching distance) - Compact design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High pressure drop - High manufacture cost - Not easy to replace the catalyst if washcoated
Monolithic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regular and well-defined structure - High surface-to-volume ratio - High mass/heat transfer rate - Low pressure drop - High thermal stability - Low cost 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Not easy to replace the catalyst if washcoated
Membrane	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reaction and product separation in one reactor - By changing oxygen permeation and partial pressure to achieve the high conversion, and products are easy to withdrawal under high pressures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High cost of membrane replacement
Fluidized bed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhanced gas-solid catalyst contact - Enhanced heat/mass transfer - Low pressure drop - Good temperature distribution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Large-scale device - Catalyst mass loss and high heat loss - Reactor bed temperature fluctuation

in water also decreased from -0.72 to -0.95 with the increasing water concentration. Thus, improving the catalyst stability in the presence of water vapor becomes one important challenge to deal with wet CMC. Recently, Toso et al. [93] reported that the solution combustion synthesis could improve the stability and water resistance of Pd-ceria and Pd-ceria-zirconia catalysts compared to the traditional impregnation method. Ciuparu et al. [204] also suggested that the influence of water vapor became insignificant at above 723 K.

Sadokhina et al. [205] observed the enhanced activity with NO addition under wet conditions. This can be explained by the reaction between NO and hydroxyl species to form HNO_3 on the catalyst surface, compensating the inhibition effect of water addition.

The reversible inhibition effect of CO_2 addition is depicted in Fig. 11c. The negative influence of CO_2 addition on the methane conversion is ascribed to the accumulation of carbonate species on the catalyst surface, thus preventing a further adsorption of CH_4 and O_2 over the surface [201] (cf. detailed experimental data in Table 4).

4.5. Effect of operating pressure

Most published studies have performed the CMC at the atmospheric pressure. High working pressure conditions (up to 30 bar or higher) are primarily applied for gas turbine purpose.

The methane conversion decreased (from $\sim 7.2\%$ to $\sim 3.5\%$) with the increasing pressure (from 5 bar to 15 bar) over Pd-Pt/ Al_2O_3 catalyst (cf. more details listed in Table 4) [103]. Moreover, it was reported that the effect of working pressure varied with the temperature [206]. At lower temperatures (500 – 600 °C), an increase in the pressure led to the decreased methane conversion and the lower combustion efficiency. In this case, the higher specific surface area was commonly required at high pressures so as to obtain a higher conversion. At higher temperatures (>700 °C), homogenous combustion takes place. A higher combustion efficiency could thereby be obtained with an increasing pressure [206, 207], probably due to the increasing mass throughputs [208].




Fig. 12. Autothermal fixed-bed reactor with (a) a separate heat exchanger; (b) an integrated heat exchanger; (c) a periodic flow reversal mode (central heat exchange); (d) hot gas withdrawal on two side ends of the catalyst section.

5. Types of catalytic reactors

In this section, some representative types of reactor used for CMC are discussed. Special focuses are laid on the geometry, the way of reaction heat recovery and the improvement of thermal efficiency by the enhancement of heat and mass transfer. Table 5 recapitulates some key facts of the relevant studies reported in the literature and Table 6 provides a comparison on the advantages and disadvantages of these reactors.

5.1. Fixed-bed reactor

A fixed-bed catalytic reactor is commonly made up of a cylindrical




Fig. 13. Wall-coated reactor. (a) co-current and counter-current flow modes of wall-coated reactor; (b) folded metal sheet [251]; (c) folded sheet reactor with corrugated spacers as catalyst carriers [44]; (d) pilot-scale multifunctional folded sheet reactor [252].

tube with a certain amount of catalysts being fixed in certain locations inside the tube. The shapes of catalyst can be powder, spherical, cylindrical or randomly shaped pellets. This type of reactor is the most commonly used, suitable not only for catalyst activity test and kinetic study, but also for practical applications in the chemical and process industries. The advantages of fixed-bed reactors include easy operation, low cost, high catalyst spatial density, etc. Poor temperature distribution, low surface area, and high-pressure drop are their main disadvantages.

Besides being used individually as a single-stage reactor, several fixed-bed reactor modules may be combined to form a multi-stage reactor system. Different catalysts may be used for each stage. A two-stage combustor combining a conventional flame reactor with a catalytic reactor has been proposed by Sadamori et al. [206,233], with the purpose of improving the combustion efficiency and further reducing the gas exhaust emission. In their study, three monolithic catalysts with Pd and/or Pt based catalysts were loaded in the catalytic stage. Combustion efficiency higher than 99.5% and the NO_x emission less than 2 ppm (80% reduction) could be achieved by controlling the inlet temperature between 500 and 700 °C. In terms of thermal behavior, the conventional stationary fixed-bed reactor with a separated heat exchanger (Fig. 12a) has the problem such that the reaction heat is difficult to be fully utilized. Multifunctional or extended autothermal reactors having higher interfacial surface areas and more efficient heat transfer thus become more attractive, especially for strongly or moderately exothermic reactions [234]. This concept combines catalytic reaction with an effective thermal management (i.e. heat removal or addition) in an integrated and compact device (Fig. 12b), so as to largely reduce the heat losses. Compared to the ex-situ configuration, lower CO

and NO_x emissions and higher heat power could be achieved for the multifunctional reactor with an integrated heat exchanger [31]. Detailed information about the autothermal fixed-bed reactor can be found in the literature [235–237].

In order to further enhance the heat transfer capability, the fixed-bed reactor can be operated in the reversal flow mode [238,239], where the feeds are alternately introduced from either end of the reactor (Fig. 12c). The continuous heat extraction is a viable way to provide necessary amount of heat in the reactor, and to maintain the catalyst activity without overheating. A high amount of heat withdrawal could result in a high instability of the reaction due to a remarkably decreased temperature of the reactor. Moreover, a periodic steady state in temperature can be achieved by switching the direction of reactant flow. The reversal time is one of the significant factors not only to stabilize the reactor and the heat exchanger but also to maintain a stable temperature in the catalytic section. A longer reversal time is commonly accompanied with a higher heat loss. On the contrary, a shorter reversal time can result in a lower heat generation and a reduced methane conversion [240,241]. Many simulations studied the relative position of the catalyst bed and the heat exchanger as well as the flow pattern in order to find out the most efficient way to recover heat from reversal-flow reactors [242–244]. Gosiewski et al. [242] found that a higher heat recovery efficiency can be approached by the hot gas withdrawal (Fig. 12d) than by the central heat exchange (Fig. 12c). Detail experimental data are listed in Table 5. Their results showed that more than 70% of heat can be recovered when withdrawing only around 30% of the hot gas. Furthermore, Hunter et al. [245] studied different patterns of heat recovery with respect to the heat withdrawal location (central or end) and the possibilities of returning the cooled gas to exchange heat. It was




Fig. 14. Coated-tubular reactor. (a) tube-fin geometry and laboratory prototype [32]; (b) external surface coated with Ni–Cr catalyst, and internal surface coated with Ni catalyst [121].

found that a higher heat recovery efficiency could be obtained by positioning the heat exchanger at the two side ends of the catalytic bed without any returned cold gas (Fig. 12e). Hence, a high thermal recovery efficiency and the best methane conversion may be achieved by considering both the flow reversal mode (e.g. the hot gas withdrawal at the end of the catalyst bed) and the reversal time. More description of the flow-reversal reactors can be found in the references [236,242, 246–248].

5.2. Wall-coated reactor

Conventional fixed-bed reactors have the drawback of limited heat transfer rate due to the low surface-to-volume ratio. On the contrary, the wall-coated reactors have features like lower pressure drop and higher surface area by depositing the catalyst layer on the reactor wall surface, so as to augment the catalyst contact surface area and to enhance the mass/heat transfer. Hence, this type of reactor is more suitable to be employed for coupling exothermic reactions with endothermic reactions. A lower temperature difference and a shorter distance between the heat source (exothermic reaction) and heat sink (endothermic reaction) are beneficial to increase the heat exchange efficiency. The so-called wall-coated reactor could be further divided into (folded) plate-type, tubular-type, micro/mini channel plate-type and monolithic reactors.

5.2.1. (Folded) plate-type reactor

Fig. 13a shows a basic plate-type reactor coupling CMC with methane steam reforming reaction. The two reactions happen on the opposite sides of the same plate with overlapped temperature profiles. The two flow modes (i.e., co-current and counter-current) were intensively investigated [249]. The hot spots could be avoided by optimizing the overlapping zone of reactions with the proper co-current

arrangement. However, the complete overlapping is not able to be achieved by utilizing the counter-current flow mode. Regarding the distance between plates (thickness: 0.5 mm), it has been reported that no significant effect on the catalytic performance was observed when the plate distance varied between 1 and 4 mm [250].

A folded sheet structure reactor for the coupled CMC and steam reforming reaction was investigated by Polman et al. [251]. A relatively simple design with two separated chambers was formed by the folded metal sheet, as shown in Fig. 13b. In order to avoid the high heat loss and to enhance the heat transfer, a novel folded sheet reactor with rectangular adjacent channels was proposed by Kolios et al. [44]. The spacers with rectangular ducts in between are responsible to support the whole structure, providing additional effective heat exchange areas as well (increased by a factor of 3). The gas distributor with channels is located on the right side of the entire reactor, as shown in Fig. 13c [44]. Fig. 13d shows a pilot-scale multifunctional folded sheet reactor combining methane combustion with methanol reforming [252].

5.2.2. Coated tubular reactor

A novel tube-type reactor (i.d. 25 mm, o.d. 38.5 mm) with external fins (fin height 14.3 mm) was proposed by Seo et al. (Fig. 14a) [32]. The external surfaces of tube with fins were coated with Pd/ZrO₂ catalysts for CMC while the cold air as the heat transfer fluid (HTF) was circulated inside the tube to remove the released reaction heat. From practical point of view, this compact design with a high surface area is capable of achieving 100% methane conversion and a high heat transfer rate under high methane flow rate conditions. Moreover, nearly 100% methane conversion could be obtained by 16 finned-tube reactors even under high gas velocity conditions (0.12–0.31 m s^{−1}). However, the same conversion for 10 finned-tube reactors is required under a much lower velocity (<0.11 m s^{−1}). Moreover, it has been reported that the conversion at 400 °C decreased from 88% to 70% with the increasing




Fig. 15. Microchannel reactor. (a) basic geometry; (b) Washcoated Pt-W/Mo-Al₂O₃ catalyst on a microchannel reactor for CMC [118]; (c) Pt/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst on multichannel reactor for CMC [120]; (d) coupling steam reforming over a microchannel reactor [262]; (e) integration of multi-plates coupling with methane steam reforming over a microchannel reactor [119].

catalyst thickness from 1 mm to 3 mm (cf. more details shown in Table 5). A washcoated finned tubular reactors with thinner catalyst thickness (e.g., <1 mm) was more favorable to reach a high conversion.

Ismagilov et al. [121] investigated a tubular reactor (i.d. 18 mm, o.d. 20 mm) with coated metal foams both on the external (Ni–Cr) and internal (Ni) tube surfaces (Fig. 14b). A stable catalytic performance and uniform temperature distribution in the reactor could be obtained by optimizing the gas mixture composition and the catalyst thickness. Moreover, the thickness of catalytic layer in the range of 4–5 mm was favorable to reach a more stable combustion than that of 2.5 mm. Thus, a suitable surface area and catalyst thickness are required not only for increasing the diffusion rate of reactants, but also for higher catalytic combustion efficiency.

Unlike the traditional straight combustor, Yan et al. [253] numerically investigated three different designs of the micro tube combustors

for CMC. The improved combustion efficiency can be obtained from the design of multi-step separated baffles (two groups of three separated zones). One of the key advantages of this design is that the separated baffles provided chances for the premixed methane and air to enter the reaction zone from different locations, which enhanced the combustion efficiency and heat recirculation.

5.2.3. Micro/mini channel plate-type reactor

Micro/mini channel reactor has attracted more attention in the past several decades [254–256]. The high surface area of microchannel reactors as well as excellent mass/heat transfer presents great benefits for the catalytic performance [257–259]. Thus, highly exothermic reactions are better handled in the microchannel reactor, due to the suppression of the hot spot formation. However, it is worth noting that the high surface area may also result in the thermal quenching problem due to the high




Fig. 16. Monolithic reactor. (a) various internal channel shapes (square/round/triangle); (b) catalytic monolithic reactor with two monolithic exchangers [29]; (c) folded-wall monolithic reactor coupled with steam reforming reaction [35].

heat loss if the reactor is not properly insulated. An extra heat source may have to be provided to the microchannel reactor when the released heat from CMC is insufficient to compensate the heat loss to maintain a continuous combustion in practice [258,260,261].

Fig. 15a shows a basic geometry of microreactor with washcoated catalyst on multiple straight channels, where the CMC reaction takes place. O'Connell et al. [118] investigated methane combustion on a microstructured reactor (51 cm length \times 14 cm width) with the microchannels (500 μm \times 250 μm , 14 channels in total) over washcoated Pt-W/Mo-Al₂O₃ catalyst, as shown in Fig. 15b. A methane conversion of 50% has been obtained at 493 °C under the total flow rate of 107 mL min⁻¹. The CMC was experimentally investigated by He et al. [120] in a parallel microchannels reactor (317.5 mm length \times 50 mm width \times 3 mm thickness) over washcoat Pt/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst, as shown in Fig. 15c. A methane conversion of 95.75% could be obtained at 450 °C

and 110 mL min⁻¹ (at a residence time of 14.41 s). A compact micro-channel reactor (15 cm \times 3.9 cm \times 1.5 cm) was used for the coupled CMC with methane steam reforming, as shown in Fig. 15d [262]. Each plate consists of 5 parallel straight channels (10 cm \times 0.5 cm \times 0.5 cm). The methane catalytic reactor with Pt-Sn/Al₂O₃ catalyst is located on the two sides of the steam reforming reactor with Ni/CaAl₂O₄ catalyst. The heat released from the CMC was provided for steam reforming reaction to produce hydrogen. The improved heat efficiency of 67% and methane conversion of 96% were obtained under optimized feed ratio (1.5) of combustion to reforming and at 700 °C. Enough hydrogen was expected to be generated to operate a 30 W fuel cell. Mundhwa et al. [119,263] proposed a microstructured reactor design (Fig. 15e) composed of two methane combustion reactors with segmented channels (1 mm \times 5 cm \times 20 μm , 20 channels) and two reforming reactor without channels. The plates were stacked alternatively one above another to form the autothermal microstructured reactor. Washcoated Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts were applied in methane combustion microchannels while Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts were coated on the steam reforming side. Based on this design, about 7–8% less reactants and 70% less catalysts were required for methane combustion to power a 1 kW fuel cell. A better methane conversion and heat transfer efficiency (in terms of better temperature distribution) could be obtained under the co-current flow mode (Fig. 15e). Contrarily, the counter-current flow mode generated undesirable high temperatures, resulting in the degraded catalyst life-time.

In order to improve the stability of methane combustion, Nui et al. [264] proposed different trapezoidal bluff bodies in the microchannel reactor. The numerical results presented that the combustion recirculation zone was broadened due to the formation of vortex by increasing the blockage ratio of bluff bodies.

The thickness of the catalyst layer has a significant impact on the catalytic performance. The increased thickness of the catalyst layer usually results in a decrease in the methane conversion due to the increased internal mass transfer limitation [121]. However, Rodrigues et al. [261] reported that a higher catalytic activity could be obtained by the thicker catalyst film. The porous catalyst prepared by the electro-deposited method offered the reactants easier access to the inner surface.

5.2.4. Monolithic reactor

Monolithic reactors with open structures present a low pressure drop, high surface-to-volume ratio, high mass/heat transfer rates and high thermal stability. Thus, this type of reactor is widely applied to gas turbines and automobiles for power generation and/or for pollutant emission purification [265,266]. Numerous types of interconnected channels (e.g. square/round/triangle, as shown in Fig. 16a) and various types of substrate (e.g., honeycombs, foams or fibers) are oriented to different applications [267,268]. A higher monolithic surface area can be obtained by triangular interconnected channels. It was reported that monolith with a high specific surface area (400 cells·cm⁻²) presented enhanced mass and heat transfer characteristics compared to the lower one (60 cells·cm⁻²) [269]. Ceramic and metallic substrates are the most common materials used in studies due to the high mechanical stability and the low thermal expansion.

Usually, the catalyst was loaded on the upper section of the reactor and the heat exchanger was located on the bottom. The thermal efficiency was found to be between 20% and 30% for such system [270]. In order to better utilize the heat released from reaction, a two-stage monolith prototype with two heat exchangers was designed. This compact design of premixed burner comprises two honeycombs in between the two heat exchangers on the opposite side, similar model as shown in Fig. 16b [29]. The thermal efficiency for this design could reach 101.1%, implying an effective way to satisfactorily recover the released reaction heat [33]. Fig. 16c shows a monolith and folded wall reactor [35] for the CMC coupled with the steam reforming reaction, the inner pipe for CMC reaction and the annular part for steam reforming




Fig. 17. Membrane reactor for CMC. (a) basic principle; (b) oxygen transport across the membrane [273]; (c) ion transport membrane reactor [274]; (d) multi-channel membrane reactor coupled with steam reforming reaction [275].




Fig. 18. Fluidized bed reactor for CMC.

reaction (co-flow model). The temperature difference can be managed by adjusting the feed ratio of methane steam reforming to combustion. Furthermore, the heat transfer can be obviously improved by proper channel arrangement or catalyst distribution.

5.3. Membrane reactor

A membrane reactor commonly comprises a membrane coated with catalysts or as a barrier that only allows certain component(s) to pass through. Lanthanum cobaltite perovskite ceramic is one of the most widely used materials for membranes. Other materials with improved properties, such as thin dual-phase membranes, ceramic metal dual-phase membranes and ion transport membranes are also very promising for enhanced oxygen permeation [271]. The increasing motivation for their industrial applications is the reduction of CO₂ emissions from methane combustion.

The basic principle of membrane reactor for CMC is shown in Fig. 17a. CH₄ and air pass through the membrane, and the permeated O₂ reacts with CH₄. CO₂ in the products can be successfully separated and captured. It has been reported that the nitrogen with a purity of 98–99% could be produced and the system remained stable over 120 h [272]. The membrane reactor used for CMC could achieve a high methane conversion mainly by varying the partial pressure of oxygen permeation. However, the high costs of membrane reactors potentially limit their industrial applications.

The membrane reaction efficiency is affected by the feed flow rate, the temperature, and the permeability of oxygen. Fig. 17b depicts the oxygen transport over the membrane reactor [273]. The oxygen is firstly adsorbed on the surface of the membrane. The charged oxygen vacancy (O²⁻) is diffused to the other side, due to the formation of the chemical potential gradient across the membrane. The electrons on the other side are transferred in a reversed-direction so as to compensate for the oxygen vacancies. The results of Falkenstein et al. [273] present that the oxygen permeation flux increased with the increasing methane flow rate and reaction temperature. However, the oxygen permeation flux remained fairly constant at high methane flow rates (e.g. >20 mL min⁻¹), probably due to the limitation of the effective membrane surface area. CO₂ selectivity was thus not significantly varied under higher flow rates in this case. This is in agreement with the results reported by Tan et al. [276], that is, the high methane and air flow rates resulted in a lower oxygen permeation and reaction rate over La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-α} hollow fiber membrane reactor. Moreover, the membrane, coated with

platinum catalyst, showed that the membrane reactor effectively facilitated the oxygen permeation and improved the methane conversion, owing to the reduced oxygen permeation resistance [276].

Habib et al. [274] proposed a two-pass ion transport membrane reactor (ITM) for CMC, as shown in Fig. 17c. The first pass is responsible for oxygen permeation, where methane combustion and partial heat exchange between the mixture gas and the water also happened. The second pass is for further permeation. Moreover, the counter-current flow configuration in this ITM provided high methane conversion than that with the co-current flow configuration, owing to the higher oxygen permeation in the first case. In fact, the increased oxygen partial pressure and the accumulated oxygen flux may lead to the reduced oxygen permeation under the co-current flow mode. Moreover, the membrane reactors have also been applied for coupling with steam reforming [277] (Fig. 17d) or ammonia decomposition [278] reactions. An effective way to improve the performance for this system is to increase the membrane effectiveness and to reduce the membrane thickness.

5.4. Fluidized bed reactor

Fluidized bed reactor is a kind of typical catalytic reactors in which solid catalysts (frequently with a diameter of 10–300 μm) are fluidized during the reaction. It is capable of handling a larger amount of reactants or catalysts owing to the large reactor size, and the feed flow rate is required to suspend the catalysts. A porous plate as gas distributor is responsible for supporting the material in the fluidized bed, as shown in Fig. 18. The high gas flow results in an efficient contact between the reactants and the catalysts, leading to the enhanced heat and mass transfer rates on the catalyst surfaces. As a result, the non-uniform temperature distribution that commonly exists in fixed-bed reactor could be avoided. One of its main disadvantages is the great mass loss of the catalyst due to the in-bed attrition after long-term operation.

The experiment measurement by Yang et al. [279] illustrated that the methane conversion increased with the increasing temperature in the fluidized bed reactor, and decreased with the increasing methane inlet concentration. The methane conversion was also reported to decrease with the increasing gas velocity [228]. The fluctuation of temperature and the variation of mixture concentration may occur, due to the intensive motion of solid particles rising up and falling back [280]. Meanwhile, the enhanced mass and heat transfer can be realized between the reactants and the catalyst particles, due to the strong oscillations in the fluidized bed. Furthermore, the kinetic experiments conducted by Yang et al. [279] in the fluidized bed confirmed that the reaction was only controlled by the kinetics at a bed temperature below 450 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, and by the mass transfer and kinetics together at temperatures above. Dubinina et al. [281] reported $\text{CuO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{MgO}-\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst to be the one of the most promising catalysts in the fluidized bed reactor for CMC.

6. Summary and prospect

This work provides an extensive review on the CMC. Different catalysts, mechanisms, effect of operational parameters and reactor types are discussed. The main conclusions may be summarized as follows.

- Noble metal catalysts with high activity are favorable for CMC at low temperatures ($<700\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). The bi-metallic catalysts have a better catalytic activity due to the more active sites and electronic synergy effects. The hexaaluminate and perovskite mixed metal-oxide catalysts with different microstructured features exhibit a high thermal stability, thus more suitable for high temperature applications (700–1300 $^{\circ}\text{C}$).
- The Mars-van Krevelen mechanism is more widely accepted than the Langmuir-Hinshelwood and Eley-Rideal mechanisms. It has been observed by in-situ (spectroscopic) technologies that the adsorbed

oxygen species in PdO catalyst are responsible for the methane oxidation, rather than oxygen in gas phase.

- The light-off temperature is mainly influenced by the operating temperature and the oxygen to methane molar ratio. It varies depending on different catalyst properties. The optimized O_2/CH_4 molar ratio is beneficial for a full methane conversion due to the optimized coverage of the adsorbed mixtures over the surface.
- The natural gas containing the sulfur compound, carbon dioxide and water vapor can suppress the catalytic activity due to the competitive adsorption and the blockage of active sites. The deactivation due to water and carbon dioxide is reversible whereas the sulfur poisoning is irreversible.
- The reversal flow mode for fixed-bed reactors with hot gas withdrawal at the end of the bed and without the return of cold gas is highly recommended in order to maximize the heat recovery and methane conversion.
- The recent development of mini/microstructured reactor with compact design has been broadly investigated for coupling the CMC with endothermic reaction (methane steam reforming) at different flow modes. The co-current flow mode presents a better thermal efficiency than the counter-current flow one.

Some scientific and technological barriers remain to be overcome for the widespread industrial application of CMC, which are also the key issues and challenges of the current research and development:

- To improve the thermal stability and to prolong the lifetime of noble metal catalysts by avoiding the possible sintering at high temperature levels.
- To improve the current understanding into CMC reaction mechanisms and the corresponding kinetic models, including the deactivation mechanism (e.g. CMC with the presence of water and sulfides etc.).
- To lower the light-off temperature and to maintain the long-term high catalytic activity of hexaaluminate and perovskite catalysts.
- To develop effective desulfurization pre-treatment and measures in order to extend the catalyst lifetime.
- To improve mechanical and chemical stabilities of the catalyst coating, and to cope with the deactivation issue by proposing replacement and/or regeneration methods.
- To further enhance the heat and mass transfer in compact and integrated catalytic reactor-heat exchangers.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Region Pays de la Loire (Chaire Connect Talent ODE) from French side; and the Ubbo-Emmius Fund 2015 from University of Groningen and the start-up package in the area of green chemistry and technology (for Jun Yue) from the Netherlands' side. For their permission to reproduce the figures, we thank the ACS (Figs. 4a and 6), John Wiley and Sons (Figs. 4b and 15c) and Elsevier (Figs. 4c, 5f and 5g, h, 7 and 11 and 12a and b, 13b and c, Figs. 13d, 14a and 14b, 15b, 15c and 15d, 16b and 16c, 17b and 17c, d).

References

- [1] Karavalakis G, Durbin TD, Vilella M, Miller JW. Air pollutant emissions of light-duty vehicles operating on various natural gas compositions. *J Nat Gas Sci Eng* 2012;4:8–16.
- [2] U.S. Energy Information Administration. International energy outlook. <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=32912>. [Accessed 22 September 2014].

- [3] Durand B. Petroleum, natural gas and coal: nature, formation mechanisms, future prospects in the energy transition. *EDP Sciences* 2019:101–52.
- [4] Centre for Energy Economics Research and Policy. UK. BP Statistical review of world energy. <https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy>. [Accessed 19 April 2019].
- [5] Zou C, Zhao Q, Zhang G, Xiong B. Energy revolution: from a fossil energy era to a new energy era. *Nat Gas Ind B* 2016;3(1):1–11.
- [6] Petrov AW, Ferri D, Krumeich F, Nachttegaal M, van Bokhoven JA, et al. Stable complete methane oxidation over palladium based zeolite catalysts. *Nat Commun* 2018;9(1):2545–53.
- [7] Mehrpooya M, Khalili M, Sharifzadeh MMM. Model development and energy and exergy analysis of the biomass gasification process (Based on the various biomass sources). *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;91:869–87.
- [8] Ahmad F, Silva EL, Varesche MBA. Hydrothermal processing of biomass for anaerobic digestion-A review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;98:108–24.
- [9] Naik SN, Goud VV, Rout PK, Dalai AK. Production of first and second generation biofuels: a comprehensive review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2010;14(2): 578–97.
- [10] Li H, Larsson E, Thorin E, Dahlquist E, Yu X. Feasibility study on combining anaerobic digestion and biomass gasification to increase the production of biomethane. *Energy Convers Manag* 2015;100:212–9.
- [11] Sansaniwal S, Rosen M, Tyagi S. Global challenges in the sustainable development of biomass gasification: an overview. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2017;80:23–43.
- [12] Zwart R, Boerrigter H, Deurwaarder E, Van der Meijden C, Van Paasen S. Production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from biomass. <http://www.ecn.nl/docs/library/report/2006/e06018.pdf>. [Accessed 3 June 2013].
- [13] Vitasari CR, Jurascik M, Ptasinski KJ. Exergy analysis of biomass-to-synthetic natural gas (SNG) process via indirect gasification of various biomass feedstock. *Energy* 2011;36(6):3825–37.
- [14] Ricciardolo FL, Sterk PJ, Gaston B, Folkerts G. Nitric oxide in health and disease of the respiratory system. *Physiol Rev* 2004;84(3):731–65.
- [15] Najjar YS. Gaseous pollutants formation and their harmful effects on health and environment. *Innov Energy Policies* 2011;1:1–9.
- [16] Official Journal of the European Union. Commission regulation (EU) No. 813/2013. <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/813/oj>. [Accessed 2 August 2013].
- [17] Chen J, Arandiyani H, Gao X, Li J. Recent advances in catalysts for methane combustion. *Catal Surv Asia* 2015;19(3):140–71.
- [18] Gélín P, Primet M. Complete oxidation of methane at low temperature over noble metal based catalysts: a review. *Appl Catal, B* 2002;39(1):1–37.
- [19] Burch R, Loader PK. Investigation of Pt/Al₂O₃ and Pd/Al₂O₃ catalysts for the combustion of methane at low concentrations. *Appl Catal, B* 1994;5(1):149–64.
- [20] Gélín P, Urfels L, Primet M, Tena E. Complete oxidation of methane at low temperature over Pt and Pd catalysts for the abatement of lean-burn natural gas fuelled vehicles emissions: influence of water and sulphur containing compounds. *Catal Today* 2003;83(1–4):45–57.
- [21] Hao H, Liu Z, Zhao F, Li W. Natural gas as vehicle fuel in China: a review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2016;62:521–33.
- [22] Forzatti P. Status and perspectives of catalytic combustion for gas turbines. *Catal Today* 2003;83(1–4):3–18.
- [23] Lyubovskiy M, Smith LL, Castaldi M, Karim H, Nentwick B, et al. Catalytic combustion over platinum group catalysts: fuel-lean versus fuel-rich operation. *Catal Today* 2003;83(1–4):71–84.
- [24] Su S, Yu XA. 25 kWe low concentration methane catalytic combustion gas turbine prototype unit. *Energy* 2015;79:428–38.
- [25] Ismagilov ZR, Shikina NV, Yashnik SA, Zagoruiko AN, Kerzhentsev MA, et al. Technology of methane combustion on granulated catalysts for environmentally friendly gas turbine power plants. *Catal Today* 2010;155(1–2):35–44.
- [26] Sadamori H. Application concepts and evaluation of small-scale catalytic combustors for natural gas. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1):325–38.
- [27] Khidr KI, Eldraini YA, EL-Kassaby MM. Towards lower gas turbine emissions: flameless distributed combustion. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2017;67:1237–66.
- [28] Gür TM. Comprehensive review of methane conversion in solid oxide fuel cells: prospects for efficient electricity generation from natural gas. *Prog Energy Combust Sci* 2016;54:1–64.
- [29] Vaillant SR, Gastec AS. Catalytic combustion in a domestic natural gas burner. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1):415–20.
- [30] Jugjai S, Rungsimuntuchart N. High efficiency heat-recirculating domestic gas burners. *Exp Therm Fluid Sci* 2002;26(5):581–92.
- [31] Specchia S, Toniatto G. Natural gas combustion catalysts for environmental-friendly domestic burners. *Catal Today* 2009;147:99–106.
- [32] Seo YS, Yu SP, Cho SJ, Song KS. The catalytic heat exchanger using catalytic fin tubes. *Chem Eng Sci* 2003;58(1):43–53.
- [33] Du X. Applied studies on methane catalytic combustion development of a natural gas premixed catalytic burner and boiler for household applications [Thesis in Chinese]. Sichuan University; 2005.
- [34] Rahimpour M, Dehnavi M, Allahgholipour F, Iranshahi D, Jokar S. Assessment and comparison of different catalytic coupling exothermic and endothermic reactions: a review. *Appl Energy* 2012;99:496–512.
- [35] Mei H, Li C, Ji S, Liu H. Modeling of a metal monolith catalytic reactor for methane steam reforming-combustion coupling. *Chem Eng Sci* 2007;62(16): 4294–303.
- [36] Chen Y, Xu H, Jin X, Xiong G. Integration of gasoline preforming into autothermal reforming for hydrogen production. *Catal Today* 2006;116(3):334–40.
- [37] Van Sint Annaland M, Scholts HAR, Kuipers JAM, Van Swaaij WPM. A novel reverse flow reactor coupling endothermic and exothermic reactions, part II: sequential reactor configuration for reversible endothermic reactions. *Chem Eng Sci* 2002;57(5):855–72.
- [38] Venkataraman K, Redenius JM, Schmidt LD. Millisecond catalytic wall reactors: dehydrogenation of ethane. *Chem Eng Sci* 2002;57(13):2335–43.
- [39] Zafir M, Gavrilidis A. Modelling of a catalytic plate reactor for dehydrogenation-combustion coupling. *Chem Eng Sci* 2001;56(8):2671–83.
- [40] Choudhary TV, B S, Choudhary VR. Catalysts for combustion of methane and lower alkanes review. *Appl Catal A* 2002;234:1–23.
- [41] Li Z, Hoflund GB. A review on complete oxidation of methane at low temperatures. *J Nat Gas Chem* 2003;12(3):153–60.
- [42] Quick LM, Kamitomi S. Catalytic combustion reactor design and test results. *Catal Today* 1995;26(3–4):303–8.
- [43] Anxionnaz Z, Cabassud M, Gourdon C, Tochon P. Heat exchanger/reactors (HEX reactors): concepts, technologies: state-of-the-art. *Chem Eng Process* 2008;47(12):2029–50.
- [44] Kolios G, Gritsch A, Morillo A, Tuttlies U, Bernnat J, et al. Heat-integrated reactor concepts for catalytic reforming and automotive exhaust purification. *Appl Catal, B* 2007;70(1):16–30.
- [45] McCarty JG. Kinetics of PdO combustion catalysis. *Catal Today* 1995;26(3): 283–93.
- [46] Norrish RGW, Foord SG. The kinetics of the combustion of methane. *Proc R Soc London, Ser A* 1936;157(892):503–25.
- [47] Otto K. Methane oxidation over Pt on gamma-alumina: kinetics and structure sensitivity. *Langmuir* 1989;5(6):1364–9.
- [48] Dagaut P, Boettner JC, Cathonnet M. Methane oxidation: experimental and kinetic modeling study. *Combust Sci Technol* 1991;77(1–3):127–48.
- [49] Kolb G, Hessel V. Micro-structured reactors for gas phase reactions. *Chem Eng J* 2004;98(1–2):1–38.
- [50] Yue J. Multiphase flow processing in microreactors combined with heterogeneous catalysis for efficient and sustainable chemical synthesis. *Catal Today* 2018;308: 3–19.
- [51] Lei Y, Chen W, Lei J. Combustion and direct energy conversion inside a micro-combustor. *Appl Therm Eng* 2016;100:348–55.
- [52] Tian M, Wang XD, Zhang T. Hexaaluminates: a review of the structure, synthesis and catalytic performance. 1984–2004 *Catal Sci Technol* 2016;6(7).
- [53] Zhu J, Li H, Zhong L, Xiao P, Xu X, et al. Perovskite oxides: preparation, characterizations, and applications in heterogeneous catalysis. *ACS Catal* 2014;4(9):2917–40.
- [54] Hepbasli A, Kalinci Y. A review of heat pump water heating systems. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2009;13(6–7):1211–29.
- [55] Ibrahim O, Fardoun F, Younes R, Louahli-Gualous H. Review of water-heating systems: general selection approach based on energy and environmental aspects. *Build Environ* 2014;72:259–86.
- [56] Kopycinski J, Schildhauer TJ, Biollaz SM. Production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from coal and dry biomass - a technology review from 1950 to 2009. *Fuel* 2010;89(8):1763–83.
- [57] Ciuparu D, Lyubovskiy MR, Altman E, Pfeiffer LD, Datye A. Catalytic combustion of methane over palladium-based catalysts. *Catal Rev* 2002;44(4):593–649.
- [58] Cruellas A, Melchiori T, Gallucci F, van Sint Annaland M. Advanced reactor concepts for oxidative coupling of methane. *Catal Rev* 2017;59(3):234–94.
- [59] Yang J, Guo Y. Nanostructured perovskite oxides as promising substitutes of noble metals catalysts for catalytic combustion of methane. *Chin Chem Lett* 2018; 29(2):252–60.
- [60] Sidwell RW, Zhu H, Kibler BA, Kee RJ, Wickham DT. Experimental investigation of the activity and thermal stability of hexaaluminate catalysts for lean methane-air combustion. *Appl Catal A* 2003;255(2):279–88.
- [61] Inoue H, Sekizawa K, Eguchi K, Arai H. Thermal stability of hexaaluminate film coated on SiC substrate for high-temperature catalytic application. *J Am Ceram Soc* 1997;80(3):584–8.
- [62] Inoue H, Sekizawa K, Eguchi K, Arai H. Thick-film coating of hexaaluminate catalyst on ceramic substrates for high-temperature combustion. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1):181–90.
- [63] Groppi G, Cristiani C, Forzatti P. BaFe_xAl_(12-x)O₁₉ system for high-temperature catalytic combustion: physico-chemical characterization and catalytic activity. *J Catal* 1997;168(1):95–103.
- [64] Iyi N, Takekawa S, Kimura S. Crystal chemistry of hexaaluminates: β-alumina and magnetoplumbite structures. *J Solid State Chem* 1989;83(1):8–19.
- [65] Machida M, Eguchi K, Arai H. Catalytic properties of BaMAl₁₁O_{19-α} (M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni) for high-temperature catalytic combustion. *J Catal* 1989;120(2): 377–86.
- [66] Artizzu-Duart P, Brullé Y, Gaillard F, Garbowski E, Guilhaume N, et al. Catalytic combustion of methane over copper- and manganese-substituted barium hexaaluminates. *Catal Today* 1999;54(1):181–90.
- [67] Wickham D, Cook R. Thermally stable catalysts for methane combustion. United States patent US 20030176278. 2003. September 18.
- [68] Zhang Y, Wang X, Zhu Y, Liu X, Zhang T. Thermal evolution crystal structure and Fe crystallographic sites in LaFe_xAl_{12-x}O₁₉ hexaaluminates. *J Phys Chem C* 2014; 118(20):10792–804.
- [69] Wang X, Huang K, Ma W, Cong Y, Ge C, et al. Defect engineering, electronic structure, and catalytic properties of perovskite oxide La_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_{3-δ}. *Chem Eur J* 2017;23(5):1093–100.
- [70] Shen J, Scott RW, Hayes RE, Semagina N. Structural evolution of bimetallic Pd-Ru catalysts in oxidative and reductive applications. *Appl Catal A* 2015;502:350–60.
- [71] Machida M, Eguchi K, Arai H. Effect of additives on the surface area of oxide supports for catalytic combustion. *J Catal* 1987;103(2):385–93.

- [72] Pena M, Fierro J. Chemical structures and performance of perovskite oxides. *Chem Rev* 2001;101(7): 1981–2018.
- [73] Arai H, Yamada T, Eguchi K, Seiyama T. Catalytic combustion of methane over various perovskite-type oxides. *Appl Catal*. A 1986;26:265–76.
- [74] Cimino S, Lisi L, Pirone R, Russo G, Turco M. Methane combustion on perovskites-based structured catalysts. *Catal Today* 2000;59(1):19–31.
- [75] Laassiri S, Bion N, Duprez D, Royer S, Alamdari H. Clear microstructure-performance relationships in Mn-containing perovskite and hexaaluminate compounds prepared by activated reactive synthesis. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2014;16(9):4050–60.
- [76] Miniajluk N, Trawczyński J, Zawadzki M, Tylus W. LaMnO_3 ($\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{MnO}_3$) perovskites for lean methane combustion: effect of synthesis method. *Adv Mater Phys Chem* 2018;8(04):193.
- [77] Tao FF, J-j Shan, Nguyen L, Wang Z, Zhang S, et al. Understanding complete oxidation of methane on spinel oxides at a molecular level. *Nat Commun* 2015;6: 7798.
- [78] Miao F, Wang F, Mao D, Guo X, Yu J, et al. Effect of different reaction conditions on catalytic activity of $\text{La}(\text{Mn}, \text{Fe})\text{O}_{3+\lambda}$ catalyst for methane combustion. *Mater Res Express* 2019;6(5):055001.
- [79] Li J, Fu H, Fu L, Hao J. Complete combustion of methane over indium tin oxides catalysts. *Environ Sci Technol* 2006;40(20):6455–9.
- [80] Polini R, Falsetti A, Traversa E, Schäfer O, Knauth P. Sol-gel synthesis, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and electrical conductivity of Co-doped (La , Sr)(Ga , Mg) $\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ perovskites. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 2007;27(13–15):4291–6.
- [81] Bhalla A, Guo R, Roy R. The perovskite structure-a review of its role in ceramic science and technology. *Mater Res Innov* 2000;4(1):3–26.
- [82] Polo-Garzon F, Wu Z. Acid-base catalysis over perovskites: a review. *J Mater Chem A* 2018;6(7):2877–94.
- [83] Zhu H, Zhang P, Dai S. Recent advances of lanthanum-based perovskite oxides for catalysis. *ACS Catal* 2015;5(11):6370–85.
- [84] Granger P, Parvulescu VI, Kaliaguine S, Préluyer W. Perovskites and related mixed oxides: concepts and applications. Wiley; 2015.
- [85] Ersson A, Persson K, Adu IK, Järås SG. A comparison between hexaaluminates and perovskites for catalytic combustion applications. *Catal Today* 2006;112(1–4): 157–60.
- [86] Hoflund GB, Li Z, Epling WS, Göbel T, Schneider P, et al. Catalytic methane oxidation over Pd supported on nanocrystalline and polycrystalline TiO_2 , Mn_2O_3 , CeO_2 and ZrO_2 . *React Kinet Catal Lett* 2000;70(1):97–103.
- [87] Ersson A, Kusar H, Carroni R, Griffin T, Järås S. Catalytic combustion of methane over bimetallic catalysts a comparison between a novel annular reactor and a high-pressure reactor. *Catal Today* 2003;83(1–4):265–77.
- [88] Bhagiyalakshmi M, Anuradha R, Park SD, Park TS, Cha WS, et al. Effect of bimetallic Pt-Rh and trimetallic Pt-Pd-Rh catalysts for low temperature catalytic combustion of methane. *Bull Korean Chem Soc* 2010;31(1):120–4.
- [89] Kinnunen N, Suvanto M, Moreno M, Savimäki A, Kallinen K, et al. Methane oxidation on alumina supported palladium catalysts: effect of Pd precursor and solvent. *Appl Catal A* 2009;370(1–2):78–87.
- [90] Farrauto RJ, Hobson M, Kennelly T, Waterman E. Catalytic chemistry of supported palladium for combustion of methane. *Appl Catal A* 1992;81(2): 227–37.
- [91] Farrauto RJ, Kennelly T, Waterman EM, Hobson Jr MC. Process conditions for operation of ignition catalyst for natural gas combustion. 1993 [Google Patents].
- [92] Pecchi G, Reyes P, López T, Gómez R. Pd-CeO₂ and Pd-La₂O₃/alumina-supported catalysts: their effect on the catalytic combustion of methane. *J Non-Cryst Solids* 2004;345:624–7.
- [93] Toso A, Colussi S, Padigapaty S, de Leitenburg C, Trovarelli A. High stability and activity of solution combustion synthesized Pd-based catalysts for methane combustion in presence of water. *Appl Catal*. B 2018;230:237–45.
- [94] Drozdov VA, Tsyrlunikov PG, Popovskii VV, Bulgakov NN, Moroz EM, et al. Comparative study of the activity of Al-Pd and Al-Pt catalysts in deep oxidation of hydrocarbons. *React Kinet Catal Lett* 1985;27(2):425–7.
- [95] Euzen P, Le Gal J-H, Rebours B, Martin G. Deactivation of palladium catalyst in catalytic combustion of methane. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1–4):19–27.
- [96] Hicks RF, Qi H, Young ML, Lee RG. Structure sensitivity of methane oxidation over platinum and palladium. *J Catal* 1990;122(2):280–94.
- [97] Persson K, Ersson A, Jansson K, Iverlund N, Järås S. Influence of co-metals on bimetallic palladium catalysts for methane combustion. *J Catal* 2005;231(1): 139–50.
- [98] Persson K, Ersson A, Jansson K, Fierro J, Järås SG. Influence of molar ratio on Pd-Pt catalysts for methane combustion. *J Catal* 2006;243(1):14–24.
- [99] Kinnunen NM, Hirvi JT, Suvanto M, Pakkanen TA. Methane combustion activity of Pd-PdOx-Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst: the role of platinum promoter. *J Mol Catal A Chem* 2012;356:20–8.
- [100] Stefanov P, Todorova S, Naydenov A, Tzaneva B, Kolev H, et al. On the development of active and stable Pd-Co/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst for complete oxidation of methane. *Chem Eng J* 2015;266:329–38.
- [101] Zhang S, Kang P, Bakir M, Lapides AM, Dares CJ, et al. Polymer-supported CuPd nanoalloy as a synergistic catalyst for electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to methane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112(52):15809–14.
- [102] Persson K, Jansson K, Järås S. Characterisation and microstructure of Pd and bimetallic Pd-Pt catalysts during methane oxidation. *J Catal* 2007;245(2):401–14.
- [103] Persson K, Ersson A, Carrera AM, Jayasuriya J, Fakhrirai R, et al. Supported palladium-platinum catalyst for methane combustion at high pressure. *Catal Today* 2005;100(3–4):479–83.
- [104] Briot P, Aurox A, Jones D, Primet M. Effect of particle size on the reactivity of oxygen-adsorbed platinum supported on alumina. *Appl Catal* 1990;59(1):141–52.
- [105] Marceau E, Che M, Saint-Just J, Tatibouët JM. Influence of chlorine ions in Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts for methane total oxidation. *Catal Today* 1996;29(1):415–9.
- [106] Gremminger AT, Pereira de Carvalho HW, Popescu R, Grunwaldt JD, Deutschmann O. Influence of gas composition on activity and durability of bimetallic Pd-Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts for total oxidation of methane. *Catal Today* 2015;258:470–80.
- [107] Hajar Y, Venkatesh B, Baranova E. Electrochemical promotion of nanostructured palladium catalyst for complete methane oxidation. *Catalysts* 2019;9(1):48.
- [108] Hajar YM, Venkatesh B, Houache MS, Liu H, Safari R, et al. Electrochemical promotion of Bi-metallic Ni₉Pd core double-shell nanoparticles for complete methane oxidation. *J Catal* 2019;374:127–35.
- [109] Li K, Xu D, Liu K, Ni H, Shen F, et al. Catalytic combustion of lean methane assisted by an electric field over Mn₂Co₂ catalysts at low temperature. *J Phys Chem C* 2019;123(16):10377–88.
- [110] Li K, Liu K, Ni H, Guan B, Zhan R, et al. Electric field promoted ultra-lean methane oxidation over Pd-Ce-Zr catalysts at low temperature. *Mol Catal* 2018;459:78–88.
- [111] Liu K, Li K, Xu D, Lin H, Guan B, et al. Catalytic combustion of lean methane assisted by electric field over Pd/Co₃O₄ catalysts at low temperature. *J Shanghai Jiaot Univ* 2018;23(1):8–17.
- [112] Adamowska M, Da Costa P. Structured Pd/ γ -Al₂O₃ prepared by washcoated deposition on a ceramic honeycomb for compressed natural gas applications. *J Nanopart* 2015;2015:1–9.
- [113] Li Y, Luo C, Liu Z, Sang L. Catalytic oxidation characteristics of CH₄-air mixtures over metal foam monoliths. *Appl Eng* 2015;156:756–61.
- [114] Cebollada PAR, Garcia Bordejé E. Optimisation of physical properties of γ -alumina coating microreactors used for the growth of a carbon nanofiber layer. *Chem Eng J* 2009;149(1–3):447–54.
- [115] Bravo J, Karim A, Conant T, Lopez GP, Datye A. Wall coating of a CuO/ZnO/Al₂O₃ methanol steam reforming catalyst for micro-channel reformers. *Chem Eng J* 2004;101(1–3):113–21.
- [116] Deutschmann O, Maier LI, Riedel U, Stroemman AH, Dibble RW. Hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion of methane on platinum. *Catal Today* 2000;59(1): 141–50.
- [117] Yang H, Li J, Yu H, Peng F, Wang H. Metal-foam-supported Pd/Al₂O₃ catalysts for catalytic combustion of methane: effect of interaction between support and catalyst. *Int J Chem React Eng* 2015;13(1).
- [118] O'Connell M, Kolb G, Zapf R, Men Y, Hessel V. Bimetallic catalysts for the catalytic combustion of methane using microreactor technology. *Catal Today* 2009;144(3–4):306–11.
- [119] Mundhwa M, Parmar RD, Thurgood CP. A comparative parametric study of a catalytic plate methane reformer coated with segmented and continuous layers of combustion catalyst for hydrogen production. *J Power Sources* 2017;344:85–102.
- [120] He L, Fan Y, Luo L, Bellettre J, Yue J. Preparation of Pt/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst coating in microreactors for catalytic methane combustion. *Chem Eng J* 2020;380:122424.
- [121] Ismagilov ZR, Pushkarev VV, Podyacheva OY, Koryabkina NA, Veringa H. A catalytic heat-exchanging tubular reactor for combining of high temperature exothermic and endothermic reactions. *Chem Eng J* 2001;82(1):355–60.
- [122] Meille V. Review on methods to deposit catalysts on structured surfaces. *Appl Catal A* 2006;315:1–17.
- [123] Zapf R, Becker-Willinger C, Berresheim K, Bolz H, Gnaser H, et al. Detailed characterization of various porous alumina-based catalyst coatings within microchannels and their testing for methanol steam reforming. *Chem Eng Res Des* 2003;81(7):721–9.
- [124] Peela NR, Mubayi A, Kunzru D. Washcoating of γ -alumina on stainless steel microchannels. *Catal Today* 2009;147:S17–23.
- [125] Liauw M, Baerns M, Broucek R, Buyevskaya O, Commenge J, et al. Periodic operation in microchannel reactors: Springer. 2000. p. 224–34.
- [126] Xu X, Vonk H, Cybulski A, Moulijn JA. In: Alumina washcoating and metal deposition of ceramic monoliths. Elsevier; 1995. p. 1069–78.
- [127] Haas-Santo K, Fichtner M, Schubert K. Preparation of microstructure compatible porous supports by sol-gel synthesis for catalyst coatings. *Appl Catal A* 2001;220(1):79–92.
- [128] Karches M, Morstein M, Rudolf von Rohr P, Pozzo RL, Giombi JL, et al. Plasma-CVD-coated glass beads as photocatalyst for water decontamination. *Catal Today* 2002;72(3):267–79.
- [129] Aaltonen T, Ritala M, Sajavaara T, Keinonen J, Leskelä M. Atomic layer deposition of platinum thin films. *Chem Mater* 2003;15(9):1924–8.
- [130] Pranavicius LL, Valatkevicius P, Valincius V, Montassier C. Catalytic behavior of plasma-sprayed Al-Al₂O₃ coatings doped with metal oxides. *Surf Coat Technol* 2000;125(1):392–5.
- [131] Pranavicius LL, Pranavicius LL, Valatkevicius P, Valincius V. Plasma spray deposition of Al-Al₂O₃ coatings doped with metal oxides: catalytic applications. *Surf Coat Technol* 2000;123(2):122–8.
- [132] Zapf R, Kolb G, Pennemann H, Hessel V. Basic study of adhesion of several alumina-based washcoats deposited on stainless steel microchannels. *Chem Eng Technol* 2006;29(12):1509–12.
- [133] Behrendt F, Deutschmann O, Schmidt R, Warnatz JACS. Symposium series. In: Ignition and extinction of hydrogen-air and methane-air mixtures over platinum and palladium. American Chemical Society; 1996. p. 48–57.
- [134] Valden M, Pere J, Xiang N, Pessa M. Influence of preadsorbed oxygen on activated chemisorption of methane on Pd(110). *Chem Phys Lett* 1996;257(3):289–96.
- [135] Xu J, Ouyang L, Mao W, Yang XJ, Xu XC, et al. Operando and kinetic study of low-temperature, lean-burn methane combustion over a Pd/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst. *ACS Catal* 2012;2(2):261–9.
- [136] Yao Y, Yu F. Oxidation of alkanes over noble metal catalysts. *Ind Eng Chem Prod Res Dev* 1980;19(3):293–8.

- [137] Duprat F. Light-off curve of catalytic reaction and kinetics. *Chem Eng Sci* 2002;57(6):901–11.
- [138] Qi W, Ran J, Wang R, Du X, Shi J, et al. Kinetic consequences of methane combustion on Pd, Pt and Pd-Pt catalysts. *RSC Adv* 2016;6(111):109834–45.
- [139] Chin YH, Iglesia E. Elementary steps, the role of chemisorbed oxygen, and the effects of cluster size in catalytic CH₄-O₂ reactions on palladium. *J Phys Chem C* 2011;115(36):17845–55.
- [140] Ma L, Trimm DL, Jiang C. The design and testing of an autothermal reactor for the conversion of light hydrocarbons to hydrogen I. The kinetics of the catalytic oxidation of light hydrocarbons. *Appl Catal A* 1996;138(2):275–83.
- [141] Trimm D, Lam C-W. The combustion of methane on platinum-alumina fibre catalysts-II design and testing of a convective-diffusive type catalytic combustor. *Chem Eng Sci* 1980;35(8):1731–9.
- [142] Gosiewski K, Pawlaczyk A, Warmuzinski K, Jaschik M. A study on thermal combustion of lean methane-air mixtures: simplified reaction mechanism and kinetic equations. *Chem Eng J* 2009;154(1–3):9–16.
- [143] Pawlaczyk A, Gosiewski K. Combustion of lean methane-air mixtures in monolith beds: kinetic studies in low and high temperatures. *Chem Eng J* 2015;282:29–36.
- [144] Tsang W, Hampson R. Chemical kinetic data base for combustion chemistry. Part I. Methane and related compounds. *J Phys Chem Ref Data* 1986;15(3):1087–279.
- [145] Ranzi E, Sogaro A, Gaffuri P, Pennati G, Faravelli T. A wide range modeling study of methane oxidation. *Combust Sci Technol* 1994;96(4–6):279–325.
- [146] Burcat A, Gardiner WCJ, Dixon-Lewis G, Frenklach M, Hanson RK, et al. *Combustion chemistry*. Springer Nov 7, 1984:197–258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-0188-2>. New York.
- [147] Oh S, Mitchell P, Siewert R. In: *Methane oxidation over noble metal catalysts as related to controlling natural gas vehicle exhaust emissions*. Washington, DC: ACS Publication; 1992.
- [148] McCabe RW, McCready DF. Formaldehyde oxidation on Pt: kinetic evidence for adsorbed carbon monoxide intermediate. *Chem Phys Lett* 1984;111(1):89–93.
- [149] Lapinski MP, Silver RG, Ekerdt JG, McCabe RW. Formaldehyde oxidation on silica-supported platinum: spectroscopic evidence for adsorbed carbon monoxide intermediate. *J Catal* 1987;105(1):258–62.
- [150] Deutschmann O, Schmidt R, Behrendt F, Warnat J. Numerical modeling of catalytic ignition. *Symp (Int) Combust* 1996;26(1):1747–54.
- [151] Engel T, Ertl G. Elementary steps in the catalytic oxidation of carbon monoxide on platinum metals. *Adv Catal* 1979;28:1–78.
- [152] Frennet A. Chemisorption and exchange with deuterium of methane on metals. *Catal Rev Sci Eng* 1974;10(1):37–68.
- [153] Bond TG, Noguchi BA, Chou C-P, Mongia RK, Chen J-Y, et al. Catalytic oxidation of natural gas over supported platinum: flow reactor experiments and detailed numerical modeling. In: *Symposium (international) on combustion*, vol. 26. Elsevier; 1996. p. 1771–8.
- [154] Firth J, Holland H. Catalytic oxidation of methane over noble metals. *Trans Faraday Soc* 1969;65:1121–7.
- [155] Ahuja OP, Mathur G. Kinetics of catalytic oxidation of methane: application of initial rate technique for mechanism determination. *Can J Chem Eng* 1967;45(6):367–71.
- [156] Groppi G. Combustion of CH₄ over a PdO/ZrO₂ catalyst: an example of kinetic study under severe conditions. *Catal Today* 2003;77(4):335–46.
- [157] Seimanides S, Stoukides M. Catalytic oxidation of methane on polycrystalline palladium supported on stabilized zirconia. *J Catal* 1986;98(2):540–9.
- [158] Garbowski E, Feumi-Jantou C, Mouaddib N, Primet M. Catalytic combustion of methane over palladium supported on alumina catalysts: evidence for reconstruction of particles. *Appl Catal A* 1994;109(2):277–91.
- [159] Müller CA, Maciejewski M, Koeppel RA, Baiker A. Combustion of methane over palladium/zirconia: effect of Pd-particle size and role of lattice oxygen. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1–4):245–52.
- [160] Ciuparu D, Altman E, Pfefferle L. Contributions of lattice oxygen in methane combustion over PdO-based catalysts. *J Catal* 2001;203(1):64–74.
- [161] Müller CA, Maciejewski M, Koeppel RA, Tschan R, Baiker A. Role of lattice oxygen in the combustion of methane over PdO/ZrO₂: combined pulse TG/DTA and MS study with 18O-labeled catalyst. *J Phys Chem* 1996;100(51):20006–14.
- [162] Trimm DL, Lam C-W. The combustion of methane on platinum-alumina fibre catalysts-I: kinetics and mechanism. *Chem Eng Sci* 1980;35(6):1405–13.
- [163] Jodkowski PJ, Jędrzejczyk RJ, Chlebda D, Gierada M, Łojewska J. In situ spectroscopic studies of methane catalytic combustion over Co, Ce, and Pd mixed oxides deposited on a steel surface. *J Catal* 2017;350:1–12.
- [164] Veldsink J, Versteeg G, van Swaaij WPM. Intrinsic kinetics of the oxidation of methane over an industrial copper (II) oxide catalyst on a γ -alumina support. *Chem Eng J Biochem Eng J* 1995;57(3):273–83.
- [165] Hurtado P, Ordóñez S, Sastre H, Díez FV. Development of a kinetic model for the oxidation of methane over Pd/Al₂O₃ at dry and wet conditions. *Appl Catal, B* 2004;51(4):229–38.
- [166] Jørgensen M, Grönbeck H. First-principles microkinetic modeling of methane oxidation over Pd (100) and Pd (111). *ACS Catal* 2016;6(10):6730–8.
- [167] Au-Yeung J, Chen K, Bell AT, Iglesia E. Isotopic studies of methane oxidation pathways on PdO catalysts. *J Catal* 1999;188(1):132–9.
- [168] Lyubovskiy M, Pfefferle L. Methane combustion over the α -alumina supported Pd catalyst: activity of the mixed Pd/PdO state. *Appl Catal A* 1998;173(1):107–19.
- [169] Daye AK, Bravo J, Nelson TR, Atanasova P, Lyubovskiy M, et al. Catalyst microstructure and methane oxidation reactivity during the Pd \leftrightarrow PdO transformation on alumina supports. *Appl Catal A* 2000;198(1–2):179–96.
- [170] Demoulin O, Navez M, Ruiz P. Investigation of the behaviour of a Pd/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst during methane combustion reaction using in situ DRIFT spectroscopy. *Appl Catal A* 2005;295(1):59–70.
- [171] Oh SH, Mitchell PJ, Siewert RM. Methane oxidation over alumina-supported noble metal catalysts with and without cerium additives. *J Catal* 1991;132(2):287–301.
- [172] Mvd Bossche, Gronbeck H. Methane oxidation over PdO (101) revealed by first-principles kinetic modeling. *J Am Chem Soc* 2015;137(37):12035–44.
- [173] Aghalayam P, Park YK, Fernandes N, Papavassiliou V, Mhadeshwar AB, et al. A C1 mechanism for methane oxidation on platinum. *J Catal* 2003;213(1):23–38.
- [174] Chrzan M, Chlebda D, Jodkowski P, Salomon E, Kołodziej A, et al. Towards methane combustion mechanism on metal oxides supported catalysts: ceria supported palladium catalysts. *Top Catal* 2019;62(1–4):403–12.
- [175] Feng S, Yang W, Wang Z. Synthesis of porous NiFe₂O₄ microparticles and its catalytic properties for methane combustion. *Mater Sci Eng, B* 2011;176(18):1509–12.
- [176] Milt VG, Ulla MA, Lombardo EA. Cobalt-containing catalysts for the high-temperature combustion of methane. *Catal Lett* 2000;65(1):67–73.
- [177] Okal J, Zawadzki M, Baranowska K. Methane combustion over bimetallic Ru-Re/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts: effect of Re and pretreatments. *Appl Catal, B* 2016;194:22–31.
- [178] Iamarino M, Chirone R, Lisi L, Pirone R, Salatino P, et al. Cu/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst for the combustion of methane in a fluidized bed reactor. *Catal Today* 2002;75(1):317–24.
- [179] Wang Z, Deng J, Liu Y, Yang H, Xie S, et al. Three-dimensionally ordered macroporous CoCr₂O₄-supported Au-Pd alloy nanoparticles: highly active catalysts for methane combustion. *Catal Today* 2017;281(Part 3):467–76.
- [180] Cimino S, Pirone R, Lisi L. Zirconia supported LaMnO₃ monoliths for the catalytic combustion of methane. *Appl Catal, B* 2002;35(4):243–54.
- [181] Comino G, Gervasini A, Ragaini V, Ismagilov ZR. Methane combustion over copper chromite catalysts. *Catal Lett* 1997;48(1):39–46.
- [182] Chorkendorff I, Niemantsverdriet JW, Niemantsverdriet JW. *Kinetics. Concepts of modern catalysis and kinetics*. 3rd. Wiley Online Library; 2003. p. 23–77.
- [183] Geus JW, van Giezen JC. Monoliths in catalytic oxidation. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1):169–80.
- [184] Shi C, Yang L, Cai J. Cerium promoted Pd/HZSM-5 catalyst for methane combustion. *Fuel* 2007;86(1–2):106–12.
- [185] Barbosa AL, Herguido J, Santamaria J. Methane combustion over unsupported iron oxide catalysts. *Catal Today* 2001;64(1):43–50.
- [186] Lee JH, Trimm DL. Catalytic combustion of methane. *Fuel Process Technol* 1995;42(2):339–59.
- [187] Seo YS, Kang SK, Han MH, Baek YS. Development of a catalytic burner with Pd/NiO catalysts. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1):421–7.
- [188] Anna Poskart M. The control of NO_x concentration in natural gas combustion process. *CHEMIK* 2013;67(10):848–55.
- [189] Farrauto RJ, Lampert JK, Hobson MC, Waterman EM. Thermal decomposition and reformation of PdO catalysts; support effects. *Appl Catal, B* 1995;6(3):263–70.
- [190] Schwartz WR, Ciuparu D, Pfefferle LD. Combustion of methane over palladium-based catalysts: catalytic deactivation and role of the support. *J Phys Chem C* 2012;116(15):8587–93.
- [191] Fleys M, Simon Y, Swierczynski D, Kiennemann A, Marquaire PM. Investigation of the reaction of partial oxidation of methane over Ni/La₂O₃ catalyst. *Energy Fuels* 2006;20(6):2321–9.
- [192] Halabi M, De Croon M, Van Der Schaaf J, Cobden P, Schouten J. Intrinsic kinetics of low temperature catalytic methane-steam reforming and water-gas shift over Rh/Ce₂Zr_{1-x}O₂ catalyst. *Appl Catal A* 2010;389(1–2):80–91.
- [193] Mouaddib N, Feumi-Jantou C, Garbowski E, Primet M. Catalytic oxidation of methane over palladium supported on alumina: influence of the oxygen-to-methane ratio. *Appl Catal A* 1992;87(1):129–44.
- [194] Drozdov V, Tsyulnikov P, Popovskiy V, Bulgakov N, Moroz E, et al. Comparative study of the activity of Al-Pd and Al-Pt catalysts in deep oxidation of hydrocarbons. *React Kinet Catal Lett* 1985;27(2):425–7.
- [195] Yao Y-FY. Oxidation of alkanes over noble metal catalysts. *Ind Eng Chem Prod Res Dev* 1980;19(3):293–8.
- [196] Murru M, Gavrilidis A. Catalytic combustion of methane in non-permeable membrane reactors with separate reactant feeds. *Chem Eng J* 2004;100(1–3):23–32.
- [197] Liu W, Xu Y, Tian Z, Xu Z. A thermodynamic analysis on the catalytic combustion of methane. *J Nat Gas Chem* 2003;12(4):237–42.
- [198] Urfels L, Gélín P, Primet M, Tena E. Complete oxidation of methane at low temperature over Pt catalysts supported on high surface area SnO₂. *Top Catal* 2004;30(1–4):427–32.
- [199] Yang Z, Liu J, Zhang L, Zheng S, Guo M, et al. Catalytic combustion of low-concentration coal bed methane over CuO/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst: effect of SO₂. *RSC Adv* 2014;4(74):39394–9.
- [200] Mowery DL, McCormick RL. Deactivation of alumina supported and unsupported PdO methane oxidation catalyst: the effect of water on sulfate poisoning. *Appl Catal, B* 2001;34(4):287–97.
- [201] Wu Z, Deng J, Liu Y, Xie S, Jiang Y, et al. Three-dimensionally ordered mesoporous Co₃O₄-supported Au-Pd alloy nanoparticles: high-performance catalysts for methane combustion. *J Catal* 2015;332:13–24.
- [202] Dixon-Lewis G, Williams D. The oxidation of hydrogen and carbon monoxide. *Comprehensive chemical kinetics*, 17. Elsevier; 1977. p. 1–248.
- [203] Geng H, Yang Z, Zhang L, Ran J, Chen Y. Experimental and kinetic study of methane combustion with water over copper catalyst at low-temperature. *Energy Convers Manag* 2015;103:244–50.
- [204] Ciuparu D, Katsikis N, Pfefferle L. Temperature and time dependence of the water inhibition effect on supported palladium catalyst for methane combustion. *Appl Catal A* 2001;216(1–2):209–15.

- [205] Sadokhina N, Smedler G, Nylén U, Olofsson M, Olsson L. The influence of gas composition on Pd-based catalyst activity in methane oxidation-inhibition and promotion by NO. *Appl Catal*. B 2017;200:351–60.
- [206] Hayashi S, Yamada H, Shimodaira K. High-pressure reaction and emissions characteristics of catalytic reactors for gas turbine combustors. *Catal Today* 1995; 26(3–4):319–27.
- [207] Di Benedetto A, Landi G, Di Sarli V, Barbato PS, Pirone R, et al. Methane catalytic combustion under pressure. *Catal Today* 2012;197(1):206–13.
- [208] Carroni R, Griffin T, Mantzaras J, Reinke M. High-pressure experiments and modeling of methane/air catalytic combustion for power-generation applications. *Catal Today* 2003;83(1–4):157–70.
- [209] Zarur AJ, Ying JY. Reverse microemulsion synthesis of nanostructured complex oxides for catalytic combustion. *Nature* 2000;403(6765):65.
- [210] Li S, Wang X. The Ba-hexaaluminate doped with CeO₂ nanoparticles for catalytic combustion of methane. *Catal Commun* 2007;8(3):410–5.
- [211] Civera A, Negro G, Specchia S, Saracco G, Specchia V. Optimal compositional and structural design of a LaMnO₃/ZrO₂/Pd-based catalyst for methane combustion. *Catal Today* 2005;100(3–4):275–81.
- [212] Zhang L, Zhang Y, Dai H, Deng J, Wei L, et al. Hydrothermal synthesis and catalytic performance of single-crystalline La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ for methane oxidation. *Catal Today* 2010;153(3–4):143–9.
- [213] Gallucci K, Villa P, Groppi G, Usher N, Marra G. Catalytic combustion of methane on BaZr_(1-x)Me_xO₃ perovskites synthesised by a modified citrate method. *Catal Today* 2012;197(1):236–42.
- [214] Hu J, Zhao W, Hu R, Chang G, Li C, et al. Catalytic activity of spinel oxides MgCr₂O₄ and CoCr₂O₄ for methane combustion. *Mater Res Bull* 2014;57:268–73.
- [215] Wang Y, Arandiyani H, Scott J, Akia M, Dai H, et al. High performance Au-Pd supported on 3D hybrid strontium-substituted lanthanum manganite perovskite catalyst for methane combustion. *ACS Catal* 2016;6(10):6935–47.
- [216] Zaza F, Luisetto I, Serra E, Tuti S, Pasquali M. Catalytic combustion of methane by perovskite-type oxide nanoparticles as pollution prevention strategy. In: AIP conf proc. AIP Publishing; 2016.
- [217] Fan X, Li L, Jing F, Li J, Chu W. Effects of preparation methods on CoAlO_x/CeO₂ catalysts for methane catalytic combustion. *Fuel* 2018;225:588–95.
- [218] Li X, Liu Y, Deng J, Zhang Y, Xie S, et al. 3DOM LaMnAl₁₁O₁₉-supported AuPd alloy nanoparticles: highly active catalysts for methane combustion in a continuous-flow microreactor. *Catal Today* 2018;308:71–80.
- [219] Li D, Li K, Xu R, Wang H, Tian D, et al. Ce_{1-x}Fe_xO_{2-δ} catalysts for catalytic methane combustion: role of oxygen vacancy and structural dependence. *Catal Today* 2018;318:73–85.
- [220] Li Y, Armor JN. Catalytic combustion of methane over palladium exchanged zeolites. *Appl Catal*. B 1994;3(4):275–82.
- [221] Kucharczyk B, Tylus W, Kpiński L. Pd-based monolithic catalysts on metal supports for catalytic combustion of methane. *Appl Catal*. B 2004;49(1):27–37.
- [222] Xiao LH, Sun KP, Xu XL, Li XN. Low-temperature catalytic combustion of methane over Pd/CeO₂ prepared by deposition-precipitation method. *Catal Commun* 2005;6(12):796–801.
- [223] Choudhary V, Patil V, Jana P, Uphade B. Nano-gold supported on Fe₂O₃: a highly active catalyst for low temperature oxidative destruction of methane green house gas from exhaust/waste gases. *Appl Catal A* 2008;350(2):186–90.
- [224] Li J, Liang X, Xu S, Hao J. Catalytic performance of manganese cobalt oxides on methane combustion at low temperature. *Appl Catal*. B 2009;90(1–2):307–12.
- [225] Venezia A, Di Carlo G, Pantaleo G, Liotta L, Melaet G, et al. Oxidation of CH₄ over Pd supported on TiO₂-doped SiO₂: effect of Ti(IV) loading and influence of SO₂. *Appl Catal*. B 2009;88(3–4):430–7.
- [226] Specchia S, Palmisano P, Finocchio E, Busca G. Ageing mechanisms on PdO_x-based catalysts for natural gas combustion in premixed burners. *Chem Eng Sci* 2010;65(1):186–92.
- [227] Zhao S, Li J. Silver-cobalt oxides derived from silver nanoparticles deposited on layered double hydroxides for methane combustion. *ChemCatChem* 2015;7(13):1966–74.
- [228] Yang Z, Yang P, Zhang L, Guo M, Ran J. Experiment and modeling of low-concentration methane catalytic combustion in a fluidized bed reactor. *Appl Therm Eng* 2016;93:660–7.
- [229] Han Z, Zhang H, Dong B, Ni Y, Kong A, et al. High efficient mesoporous Co₃O₄ nanocatalysts for methane combustion at low temperature. *Chemistry* 2016;1(5): 979–83.
- [230] Mahara Y, Tojo T, Murata K, Ohyama J, Satsuma A. Methane combustion over Pd/CoAl₂O₄/Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared by galvanic deposition. *RSC Adv* 2017;7 (55):34530–7.
- [231] Jodłowski P, Jędrzejczyk R, Chlebda D, Dziedzicka A, Kuterasiński Ł, et al. Non-noble metal oxide catalysts for methane catalytic combustion: sonochemical synthesis and characterisation. *Nanomaterials* 2017;7(7):174.
- [232] Li L, Chen H, Zhang C, Fei Z. Ultrafine cobalt oxide nanoparticles embedded in porous SiO₂ matrix as efficient and stable catalysts for methane combustion. *Mol Catal* 2019;469:155–60.
- [233] Sadamori H, T T, Matsuhisa T. Proceedings of the international workshop on catalytic combustion. 1994. p. 166–9. Tokyo, Japan.
- [234] Kolios G, Frauhammer J, Eigenberger G. Autothermal fixed-bed reactor concepts. *Chem Eng Sci* 2000;55(24):5945–67.
- [235] Kolios G, Frauhammer J, Eigenberger G. Efficient reactor concepts for coupling of endothermic and exothermic reactions. *Chem Eng Sci* 2002;57(9):1505–10.
- [236] Nieken U, Kolios G, Eigenberger G. Control of the ignited steady state in autothermal fixed-bed reactors for catalytic combustion. *Chem Eng Sci* 1994;49 (24):5507–18.
- [237] Glöckler B, Gritsch A, Morillo A, Kolios G, Eigenberger G. Autothermal reactor concepts for endothermic fixed-bed reactions. *Chem Eng Res Des* 2004;82(2): 148–59.
- [238] Devals C, Fuxman A, Bertrand F, Forbes J, Hayes R. Combustion of lean methane in a catalytic flow reversal reactor. In: The proceeding of the Comsol users conference; 2006.
- [239] Blanks RF, Wittrig TS, Peterson DA. Bidirectional adiabatic synthesis gas generator. *Chem Eng Sci* 1990;45(8):2407–13.
- [240] Budhi YW, Effendy M, Bindar Y, Subagio S. Dynamic behavior of reverse flow reactor for lean methane combustion. *J Eng Technol Sci* 2014;46(3):299–317.
- [241] Balaji S, Lakshminarayanan S. Heat removal from reverse flow reactors used in methane combustion. *Can J Chem Eng* 2005;83(4):695–704.
- [242] Gosiewski K, Warmuzinski K. Effect of the mode of heat withdrawal on the asymmetry of temperature profiles in reverse-flow reactors. Catalytic combustion of methane as a test case. *Chem Eng Sci* 2007;62(10):2679–89.
- [243] Gosiewski K, Pawlaczyk A, Jaschik M. Energy recovery from ventilation air methane via reverse-flow reactors. *Energy* 2015;92:13–23.
- [244] Gosiewski K. Efficiency of heat recovery versus maximum catalyst temperature in a reverse-flow combustion of methane. *Chem Eng J* 2005;107(1–3):19–25.
- [245] Marín P, Ordóñez S, Díez FV. Procedures for heat recovery in the catalytic combustion of lean methane-air mixtures in a reverse flow reactor. *Chem Eng J* 2009;147(2–3):356–65.
- [246] Matros YS. Catalytic processes under unsteady-state conditions. Elsevier; 1988.
- [247] Gosiewski K, Matros YS, Warmuzinski K, Jaschik M, Tanczyk M. Homogeneous vs. catalytic combustion of lean methane-air mixtures in reverse-flow reactors. *Chem Eng Sci* 2008;63(20):5010–9.
- [248] Gosiewski K, Pawlaczyk A, Jaschik M. Thermal combustion of lean methane-air mixtures: flow reversal research and demonstration reactor model and its validation. *Chem Eng J* 2012;207–208:76–84.
- [249] Ioannides T, Vervikios X. Catalytic partial oxidation of methane in a novel heat-integrated wall reactor. *Catal Lett* 1997;47(3–4):183–8.
- [250] Zafir M, Gavrilidis A. Catalytic combustion assisted methane steam reforming in a catalytic plate reactor. *Chem Eng Sci* 2003;58(17):3947–60.
- [251] Polman EA, Der Kinderen JM, Thuis FMA. Novel compact steam reformer for fuel cells with heat generation by catalytic combustion augmented by induction heating. *Catal Today* 1999;47(1):347–51.
- [252] Kolios G, Glöckler B, Gritsch A, Morillo A, Eigenberger G. Heat-integrated reactor concepts for hydrogen production by methane steam reforming. *Fuel Cells* 2005;5 (1):52–65.
- [253] Yan Y, Wu G, Huang W, Zhang L, Li L, et al. Numerical comparison study of methane catalytic combustion characteristic between newly proposed opposed counter-flow micro-combustor and the conventional ones. *Energy* 2019;170: 403–10.
- [254] Guo X, Fan Y, Luo L. Multi-channel heat exchanger-reactor using arborescent distributors: a characterization study of fluid distribution, heat exchange performance and exothermic reaction. *Energy* 2014;69:728–41.
- [255] Fan Y, Luo L. Recent applications of advances in microchannel heat exchangers and multi-scale design optimization. *Heat Transf Eng* 2008;29(5):461–74.
- [256] Brandner JJ, Bohn L, Henning T, Schygulla U, Schubert K. Microstructure heat exchanger applications in laboratory and industry. *Heat Transf Eng* 2007;28(8–9): 761–71.
- [257] Kolb G, Schürer J, Tiemann D, Wichert M, Zapf R, et al. Fuel processing in integrated micro-structured heat-exchanger reactors. *J Power Sources* 2007;171 (1):198–204.
- [258] Miesse CM, Masel RI, Jensen CD, Shannon MA, Short M. Submillimeter-scale combustion. *AIChE J* 2004;50(12):3206–14.
- [259] Hua J, Wu M, Kumar K. Numerical simulation of the combustion of hydrogen-air mixture in micro-scaled chambers. Part I: fundamental study. *Chem Eng Sci* 2005; 60(13):3497–506.
- [260] Chen J, Gao X, Xu D. Stability limits and chemical quenching of methane-air flame in plane micro-channels with different walls. *RSC Adv* 2015;5(49): 39375–83.
- [261] Rodrigues JM, Ribeiro MF, Fernandes EC. Catalytic activity of electrodeposited cobalt oxide films for methane combustion in a micro-channel reactor. *Fuel* 2018; 232:51–9.
- [262] Irankhah A, Rahimi M, Rezaei M. Performance research on a methane compact reformer integrated with catalytic combustion. *Chem Eng Technol* 2014;37(7): 1220–6.
- [263] Mundhwa M, Thurgood CP. Numerical study of methane steam reforming and methane combustion over the segmented and continuously coated layers of catalysts in a plate reactor. *Fuel Process Technol* 2017;158:57–72.
- [264] Niu J, Ran J, Li L, Du X, Wang R, et al. Effects of trapezoidal bluff bodies on blow out limit of methane/air combustion in a micro-channel. *Appl Therm Eng* 2016; 95:454–61.
- [265] Jiang P, Lu G, Guo Y, Guo Y, Zhang S, et al. Preparation and properties of a γ-Al₂O₃ washcoat deposited on a ceramic honeycomb. *Surf Coat Technol* 2005; 190(2–3):314–20.
- [266] Govender S, Friedrich H. Monoliths: a review of the basics, preparation methods and their relevance to oxidation. *Catalysts* 2017;7(2):62.
- [267] Llorca J. In: Monolithic reactor. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2015. p. 1–3. Berlin Heidelberg.
- [268] Williams JL. Monolith structures, materials, properties and uses. *Catal Today* 2001;69(1–4):3–9.
- [269] Lyubovskiy M, Karim H, Menacherry P, Boorse S, LaPierre R, et al. Complete and partial catalytic oxidation of methane over substrates with enhanced transport properties. *Catal Today* 2003;83(1):183–97.

- [270] Dupont V, Moallemi F, Williams A, Zhang SH. Combustion of methane in catalytic honeycomb monolith burners. *Int J Energy Res* 2000;24(13):1181–201.
- [271] Lin Y. Microporous and dense inorganic membranes: current status and prospective. *Separ Purif Technol* 2001;25(1):39–55.
- [272] Hu T, Zhou H, Peng H, Jiang H. Nitrogen production by efficiently removing oxygen from air using a perovskite hollow-fiber membrane with porous catalytic layer. *Front Chem* 2018;6.
- [273] Falkenstein Smith R, Zeng P, Ahn J. Investigation of oxygen transport membrane reactors for oxy-fuel combustion and carbon capture purposes. *Proc Combust Inst* 2017;36(3):3969–76.
- [274] Habib MA, Nemitallah MA. Design of an ion transport membrane reactor for application in fire tube boilers. *Energy* 2015;81:787–801.
- [275] Vigneault A, Grace JR. Hydrogen production in multi-channel membrane reactor via steam methane reforming and methane catalytic combustion. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2015;40(1):233–43.
- [276] Tan X, Li K, Thursfield A, Metcalfe IS. Oxyfuel combustion using a catalytic ceramic membrane reactor. *Catal Today* 2008;131(1–4):292–304.
- [277] Simakov DS, Sheintuch M. Experimental optimization of an autonomous scaled-down methane membrane reformer for hydrogen generation. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2009;49(3):1123–9.
- [278] Chen J, Yan L. Ammonia decomposition coupled with methane combustion in catalytic microreactors for hydrogen production. *Chem Biomol Eng* 1994;2(1):19–26.
- [279] Yang Z, Yang P, Zhang L, Guo M, Yan Y. Investigation of low concentration methane combustion in a fluidized bed with Pd/Al₂O₃ as catalytic particles. *RSC Adv* 2014;4(103):59418–26.
- [280] Zukowski W. The role of two-stage combustion in the development of oscillations during fluidized bed combustion of gases. *Fuel* 2000;79(14):1757–65.
- [281] Dubinin YV, Tsereshko N, Saraev A, Bulavchenko O, Yakovlev V. Studying the effect of magnesium on the activity of a deep oxidation catalyst for a fluidized bed in methane and CO oxidation reactions. *Catal Ind* 2018;10(3):237–43.
- [282] Dong Q, Zhang S, Duan Z, Zhou Q. An energy analysis of the catalytic combustion burner. *Heat Technol Energy Eff* 2006;(3).
- [283] Specchia S, Ahumada Irribarra MA, Palmisano P, Saracco G, Specchia V. Aging of premixed metal fiber burners for natural gas combustion catalyzed with Pd/LaMnO₃-2ZrO₂. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2007;46(21):6666–73.
- [284] Deng C, Yang W, Zhou J, Liu Z, Wang Y, et al. Catalytic combustion of methane, methanol, and ethanol in microscale combustors with Pt/ZSM-5 packed beds. *Fuel* 2015;150:339–46.